

Common properties of Lip and \mathcal{H}^∞ functions (preliminary report)

Richard M. Aron Kent State University

with J. Bonet, V. Dimant, L. C. García Lirola, and M. Maestre

Plan of talk:

1. Basic definitions of Lip and \mathcal{H}^∞ and basic background
2. Norm attainment
3. Combining Lip and \mathcal{H}^∞

§1 Basic definitions

Lip Let (M, d) be a metric space with an arbitrary fixed point $x_0 \in M$ and let Y be a Banach space. Define $Lip_{x_0}(M, Y) := \{f : M \rightarrow Y \mid f(x_0) = 0 \text{ and } \exists C \geq 0 \text{ such that } \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq Cd(x, y), \forall x, y \in M\}$. (*)

§1 Basic definitions

Lip Let (M, d) be a metric space with an arbitrary fixed point $x_0 \in M$ and let Y be a Banach space. Define $Lip_{x_0}(M, Y) := \{f : M \rightarrow Y \mid f(x_0) = 0 \text{ and } \exists C \geq 0 \text{ such that } \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq Cd(x, y), \forall x, y \in M\}$. (*)

$Lip_{x_0}(M, Y)$ is a Banach space with norm $L(f) := \inf\{C \mid (*) \text{ holds}\}$. (In other words, $C = \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{d(x, y)}$.)

H^∞ For complex Banach spaces X, Y , with B_X being the open unit ball of X , let $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y) \equiv \{f : B_X \rightarrow Y \mid f \text{ is holomorphic and bounded}\}$.

H^∞ For complex Banach spaces X, Y , with B_X being the open unit ball of X , let $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y) \equiv \{f : B_X \rightarrow Y \mid f \text{ is holomorphic and bounded}\}$.

$\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y)$ is a Banach space with the natural supremum norm.

H^∞ For complex Banach spaces X, Y , with B_X being the open unit ball of X , let $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y) := \{f : B_X \rightarrow Y \mid f \text{ is holomorphic and bounded}\}$.

$\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y)$ is a Banach space with the natural supremum norm.

Notation: When $Y =$ the scalars \mathbb{K} , we simply write $Lip_{x_0}(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$.

Basic Background

Three basic results:

Theorem (R. C. James, +/- 1964) A Banach space Z is reflexive if and only if every continuous linear form on Z attains its norm.

Basic Background

Three basic results:

Theorem (R. C. James, +/- 1964) A Banach space Z is reflexive if and only if every continuous linear form on Z attains its norm.

Theorem (E. Bishop and R. Phelps, 1961) For any Banach space Z , the set of norm-attaining continuous linear forms in Z^* is dense in Z^* ,

Basic Background

Three basic results:

Theorem (R. C. James, +/- 1964) A Banach space Z is reflexive if and only if every continuous linear form on Z attains its norm.

Theorem (E. Bishop and R. Phelps, 1961) For any Banach space Z , the set of norm-attaining continuous linear forms in Z^* is dense in Z^* ,

Theorem (Ng, 1971, following Dixmier-1948) For a Banach space Z , suppose that there exists a locally convex topology τ on \overline{B}_Z making it τ -compact. Then there exists a Banach space V whose dual is isometrically isomorphic to Z .

§2 **Norm attainment** Two applications of Ng's result.

§2 **Norm attainment** Two applications of Ng's result.

(1) Let $Z = Lip_{x_0}(M)$ and consider $\{\delta_m \mid m \in M\}$, which is a subset of $Lip_{x_0}(M)^*$. Then $\overline{B_Z}$, endowed with the weak-* topology, is compact. The Banach space generated by $\{\delta_m \mid m \in M\}$ is a predual of Z . In the Lipschitz context, this predual has a number of names and notations; we'll call it the *free Lipschitz space*, $\mathcal{F}_{x_0}(M)$.

§2 **Norm attainment** Two applications of Ng's result.

(1) Let $Z = Lip_{x_0}(M)$ and consider $\{\delta_m \mid m \in M\}$, which is a subset of $Lip_{x_0}(M)^*$. Then $\overline{B_Z}$, endowed with the weak-* topology, is compact. The Banach space generated by $\{\delta_m \mid m \in M\}$ is a predual of Z . In the Lipschitz context, this predual has a number of names and notations; we'll call it the *free Lipschitz space*, $\mathcal{F}_{x_0}(M)$.

(2) Let $Z = \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$ and consider $\{\delta_x \mid x \in B_X\}$, which is a subset of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)^*$. Its predual, the Banach space generated by $\{\delta_x \mid x \in B_X\}$, is denoted $\mathcal{G}(B_X)$.

We have the same diagram in both cases.

We have the same diagram in both cases. Let Y be a Banach space and let $f : M \rightarrow Y$ be Lipschitz. Then there is a unique continuous linear mapping $T_f : \mathcal{F}_{x_0} \rightarrow Y$ such that the following diagram commutes.

We have the same diagram in both cases. Let Y be a Banach space and let $f : M \rightarrow Y$ be Lipschitz. Then there is a unique continuous linear mapping $T_f : \mathcal{F}_{x_0} \rightarrow Y$ such that the following diagram commutes. Or, let $f : B_X \rightarrow Y$ be an \mathcal{H}^∞ function. Then there is a unique continuous linear mapping $T_f : \mathcal{G}_X \rightarrow Y$ such that the following diagram holds

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 \delta \downarrow & & \nearrow \\
 \mathcal{F}_{x_0}(M) & &
 \end{array}$$

($f : M \rightarrow Y$ is Lipschitz)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B_X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 \delta \downarrow & & \nearrow \\
 \mathcal{G}(B_X) & &
 \end{array}$$

($f : B_X \rightarrow Y$ is bounded & holomorphic)

In each case, the norm of f (as a Lipschitz or an \mathcal{H}^∞ function) equals the norm of the linear operator T_f . In particular, taking $Y = \mathbb{K}$, we see that both $Lip_{x_0}(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$ are dual spaces.

In each case, the norm of f (as a Lipschitz or an \mathcal{H}^∞ function) equals the norm of the linear operator T_f . In particular, taking $Y = \mathbb{K}$, we see that both $Lip_{x_0}(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$ are dual spaces.

Neither being reflexive, there is a dense subset of each that attains its norm as an element of the dual, $(\mathcal{F}_{x_0}(M))^*$, respectively $(\mathcal{G}(B_X))^*$.

In each case, the norm of f (as a Lipschitz or an \mathcal{H}^∞ function) equals the norm of the linear operator T_f . In particular, taking $Y = \mathbb{K}$, we see that both $Lip_{x_0}(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$ are dual spaces.

Neither being reflexive, there is a dense subset of each that attains its norm as an element of the dual, $(\mathcal{F}_{x_0}(M))^*$, respectively $(\mathcal{G}(B_X))^*$.

Our question: Exactly what are these dense subsets?

Case of $Lip_0[0, 1] = (\mathcal{F}_0[0, 1])^*$

A good first guess, in general, is that $f \in Lip_{x_0}(M)$ is norm-attaining $\iff L(f)$ is attained. That is, the guess is that $f \in Lip_{x_0}(M)$ is norm-attaining

$$\iff \exists x, y \in M \text{ such that } L(f) = \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}.$$

Case of $Lip_0[0, 1] = (\mathcal{F}_0[0, 1])^*$

In fact, this guess is incorrect in general. The example requires one well-known fact, essentially due to Rademacher, about Lipschitz functions:

Case of $Lip_0[0, 1] = (\mathcal{F}_0[0, 1])^*$

In fact, this guess is incorrect in general. The example requires one well-known fact, essentially due to Rademacher, about Lipschitz functions:

Suppose that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function. Then f' exists almost everywhere. Moreover, $f' \in L^\infty[a, b]$ and in fact, $L(f) = \|f'\|_\infty$.

Case of $Lip_0[0, 1] = (\mathcal{F}_0[0, 1])^*$

In fact, this guess is incorrect in general. The example requires one well-known fact, essentially due to Rademacher, about Lipschitz functions:

Suppose that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function. Then f' exists almost everywhere. Moreover, $f' \in L^\infty[a, b]$ and in fact, $L(f) = \|f'\|_\infty$.

Example (Kadets, Martin, and Soloviova (2016)) *Let $C \subset [0, 1]$ be a Cantor set of positive Lebesgue measure. Define $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = \int_0^x \chi_C(t) dt$. Then $f \in Lip_0[0, 1]$, and $L(f) = \|f'\|_\infty = \|\chi_C\|_\infty = 1$. For a contradiction, let's suppose that $\exists g \in Lip_0[0, 1]$ with $L(f - g) < 1/3$ (and so $L(g) > 2/3$). Suppose further that $\exists x, y \in [0, 1]$ such that $L(g) = \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|}{|x - y|}$.*

Case of $Lip_0[0, 1] = (\mathcal{F}_0[0, 1])^*$

In fact, this guess is incorrect in general. The example requires one well-known fact, essentially due to Rademacher, about Lipschitz functions:

Suppose that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function. Then f' exists almost everywhere. Moreover, $f' \in L^\infty[a, b]$ and in fact, $L(f) = \|f'\|_\infty$.

Example (Kadets, Martin, and Soloviova (2016)) Let $C \subset [0, 1]$ be a Cantor set of positive Lebesgue measure. Define $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = \int_0^x \chi_C(t) dt$. Then $f \in Lip_0[0, 1]$, and $L(f) = \|f'\|_\infty = \|\chi_C\|_\infty = 1$. For a contradiction, let's suppose that $\exists g \in Lip_0[0, 1]$ with $L(f - g) < 1/3$ (and so $L(g) > 2/3$). Suppose further that $\exists x, y \in [0, 1]$ such that $L(g) = \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|}{|x - y|}$.

Now observe that if $L(g)$ is attained at $\frac{|g(x) - g(y)|}{|x - y|}$, then for every $x', y' \in [x, y]$, $L(g)$ is also equal to $\frac{|g(x') - g(y')|}{|x' - y'|}$. So, let's choose x', y' so that $C \cap (x', y') = \emptyset$. By our definition of f , $f(x') = f(y')$. This yields the following contradiction:

$$1/3 > L(f - g) \geq \frac{|(f - g)(x') - (f - g)(y')|}{|x' - y'|} = \frac{|g(x') - g(y')|}{|x' - y'|} = L(g) > 2/3.$$

There are natural situations of (M, d) where the “good guess” would be correct. For instance, if M is compact and $\mathcal{F}_0(M)$ has the Radon-Nikodym property, then in fact the norm attaining elements of $\mathcal{F}_{x_0}(M)^* = Lip_{x_0}(M)$ are precisely those for which $\exists x, y \in M$ with $L(f) = \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}$.

Case of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$

When $X = \mathbb{C}$: As already indicated, a predual of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$ is the closed span (in $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)^*$) of the set $\{\delta_x \mid x \in B_X\}$.

Case of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$

When $X = \mathbb{C}$: As already indicated, a predual of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$ is the closed span (in $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)^*$) of the set $\{\delta_x \mid x \in B_X\}$.
Another predual when $X = \mathbb{C}$ is L_1/H_0^1 .

Case of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$

When $X = \mathbb{C}$: As already indicated, a predual of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$ is the closed span (in $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)^*$) of the set $\{\delta_x \mid x \in B_X\}$.

Another predual when $X = \mathbb{C}$ is L_1/H_0^1 .

In fact for $X = \mathbb{C}$ so that $B_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{D}$, (T. Ando, 1978) showed that the predual is unique up to isometry.

Case of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$

When $X = \mathbb{C}$: As already indicated, a predual of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$ is the closed span (in $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)^*$) of the set $\{\delta_x \mid x \in B_X\}$.

Another predual when $X = \mathbb{C}$ is L_1/H_0^1 .

In fact for $X = \mathbb{C}$ so that $B_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{D}$, (T. Ando, 1978) showed that the predual is unique up to isometry.

Theorem (see also S. Fisher, 1969) A function $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is norm attaining relative to the predual if and only if

$$m(\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1 \text{ and } |f^*(z)| = \|f\|_\infty\}) > 0.$$

Case of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$

When $X = \mathbb{C}$: As already indicated, a predual of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$ is the closed span (in $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)^*$) of the set $\{\delta_x \mid x \in B_X\}$.

Another predual when $X = \mathbb{C}$ is L_1/H_0^1 .

In fact for $X = \mathbb{C}$ so that $B_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{D}$, (T. Ando, 1978) showed that the predual is unique up to isometry.

Theorem (see also S. Fisher, 1969) A function $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is norm attaining relative to the predual if and only if

$$m(\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1 \text{ and } |f^*(z)| = \|f\|_\infty\}) > 0.$$

(We are using the fact that for $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, the radial limit $f^*(z) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(rz)$ exists a.e. on the unit circle.)

Without loss, $\|f\|_\infty = 1$.

\Leftarrow Let $K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1 \text{ and } |f^*(z)| = 1\}$ have positive measure
 0 if $z \notin K$

and define $g \in L_1$ by $g(z) = \begin{cases} \overline{\frac{f^*(z)}{m(K)}} & \text{if } z \in K \end{cases}$

Without loss, $\|f\|_\infty = 1$.

\Leftarrow Let $K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1 \text{ and } |f^*(z)| = 1\}$ have positive measure
 0 if $z \notin K$

and define $g \in L_1$ by $g(z) = \begin{cases} \overline{\frac{f^*(z)}{m(K)}} & \text{if } z \in K \end{cases}$

Then $\|g\|_{L_1} = 1$ and for any

$$h \in H_0^1, \int_{|z|=1} (g+h)f^* = \int_{|z|=1} gf^* + \int_{|z|=1} hf^* = \int_K gf^* = 1.$$

Without loss, $\|f\|_\infty = 1$.

\Leftarrow Let $K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1 \text{ and } |f^*(z)| = 1\}$ have positive measure
 0 if $z \notin K$

and define $g \in L_1$ by $g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{f^*(z)}}{m(K)} & \text{if } z \in K \end{cases}$

Then $\|g\|_{L_1} = 1$ and for any

$$h \in H_0^1, \int_{|z|=1} (g+h)f^* = \int_{|z|=1} gf^* + \int_{|z|=1} hf^* = \int_K gf^* = 1.$$

Here we have used Cauchy's integral formula and the fact that $h(0) = 0$.

⇒ (idea)

Suppose that for some $[g] \in L^1/H_0^1$, $\|[g]\| = 1$, and $f([g]) = 1$. Since $[g] = g + H_0^1$ and $\forall h \in H_0^1$, $\int_{|z|=1} f^*(g+h) = \int_{|z|=1} f^*g$, we have that $\|g\|_1 = \int_{|z|=1} |g(z)| = 1$.

⇒ (idea)

Suppose that for some $[g] \in L^1/H_0^1$, $\|[g]\| = 1$, and $f([g]) = 1$. Since $[g] = g + H_0^1$ and $\forall h \in H_0^1$, $\int_{|z|=1} f^*(g+h) = \int_{|z|=1} f^*g$, we have that $\|g\|_1 = \int_{|z|=1} |g(z)| = 1$.

For a contradiction, suppose that $K (\equiv \{z \mid |z| = 1 \text{ and } |f^*(z)| = 1\})$ has measure 0. Call $K_n = \{z \mid |z| = 1 \text{ and } |f^*(z)| < \frac{n-1}{n}\}$. So, $\{|z| = 1\} \setminus K = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$. Then $1 = \int_{\{|z|=1\} \setminus K_n} |g| + \int_{K_n} |g| = \int_{|z|=1} f^*g$
 $\leq \int_{\{|z|=1\} \setminus K_n} |f^*g| + \int_{K_n} |f^*g|$
 $\leq \int_{\{|z|=1\} \setminus K_n} |g| + \frac{n-1}{n} \int_{K_n} |g|$. From this it follows that $\forall n$, $\int_{K_n} |g| = 0$. Therefore, $g = 0$ a.e. on $\cup_n K_n$, and since the measure of K is 0, we conclude that $\int_{|z|=1} |g| = 0$, which is a contradiction to $\|g\|_1 = 1$. \square

Remarks on the higher dimensional case

Much less is known for \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$. For “important” open unit balls $B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (with some “important” norm), it is known that $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B)$ is the dual of a space of type L^1/H_0^1 . For instance:

Remarks on the higher dimensional case

Much less is known for \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$. For “important” open unit balls $B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (with some “important” norm), it is known that $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B)$ is the dual of a space of type L^1/H_0^1 . For instance: For \mathbb{D}^n with unit sphere (“distinguished boundary”) \mathbb{T}^n , one can show:

Proposition Let $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that the set $\{w \in \mathbb{T}^n \mid |f^*(w)| = \|f\|_\infty\}$ has positive measure. Then f attains its norm as an element of $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)/\mathcal{H}_0^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Remarks on the higher dimensional case

Much less is known for \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$. For “important” open unit balls $B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (with some “important” norm), it is known that $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B)$ is the dual of a space of type L^1/H_0^1 . For instance: For \mathbb{D}^n with unit sphere (“distinguished boundary”) \mathbb{T}^n , one can show:

Proposition Let $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that the set $\{w \in \mathbb{T}^n \mid |f^*(w)| = \|f\|_\infty\}$ has positive measure. Then f attains its norm as an element of $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)/\mathcal{H}_0^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

For B^n with unit sphere S^n , one has:

Proposition Let $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B^n)$ such that the set $\{w \in S^n \mid |f^*(w)| = \|f\|_\infty\}$ has positive measure. Then f attains its norm as an element of $L^1(S^n)/\mathcal{H}_0^1(S^n)$. Conversely, if there is $\varphi \in L^1(S^n)$, $\|\varphi\|_{L^1(S^n)} = 1$, such that $\int_{S^n} \varphi \cdot f = \|f\|$, then the set $\{w \in S^n \mid |f^*(w)| = \|f\|\}$ has positive measure.

Combining Lip and \mathcal{H}^∞

Here, our Lipschitz functions will always be defined on $(M, d) = (B_X, \|\cdot\|)$, where X is a complex Banach space. Also, x_0 will be $0 \in B_X$, meaning that we'll be dealing with $Lip_0(B_X, Y)$.

Combining Lip and \mathcal{H}^∞

Here, our Lipschitz functions will always be defined on $(M, d) = (B_X, \|\cdot\|)$, where X is a complex Banach space. Also, x_0 will be $0 \in B_X$, meaning that we'll be dealing with $Lip_0(B_X, Y)$.

Definition Let $\mathcal{HL}_0(B_X, Y) := Lip_0(B_X, Y) \cap \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y)$, endowed with the Lipschitz norm

$$f \in \mathcal{HL}_0(B_X, Y) \rightsquigarrow L(f).$$

Combining Lip and \mathcal{H}^∞

Here, our Lipschitz functions will always be defined on $(M, d) = (B_X, \|\cdot\|)$, where X is a complex Banach space. Also, x_0 will be $0 \in B_X$, meaning that we'll be dealing with $Lip_0(B_X, Y)$.

Definition Let $\mathcal{HL}_0(B_X, Y) := Lip_0(B_X, Y) \cap \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y)$, endowed with the Lipschitz norm

$$f \in \mathcal{HL}_0(B_X, Y) \rightsquigarrow L(f).$$

This “combination” is reminiscent of work by others, e.g. Bruna & Tugores (and their predecessors), who - among other things - studied $\mathcal{A}^1(\mathbb{D}) := \{f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) \mid f' \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})\}$ (where $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ is the disc algebra, i.e. $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) := \{f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is holomorphic on } \mathbb{D} \text{ and continuous on } \overline{\mathbb{D}}\}$.)

Theorem For complex Banach spaces X and Y ,
(a) $(\mathcal{H}L_0(B_X, Y), L(\cdot))$ is a Banach space.

Theorem For complex Banach spaces X and Y ,

(a) $(\mathcal{H}L_0(B_X, Y), L(\cdot))$ is a Banach space.

(b) $\mathcal{H}L_0(B_X, Y) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y) \mid f(0) = 0 \text{ and } df \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))\}$.

Theorem For complex Banach spaces X and Y ,

(a) $(\mathcal{H}L_0(B_X, Y), L(\cdot))$ is a Banach space.

(b) $\mathcal{H}L_0(B_X, Y) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y) \mid f(0) = 0 \text{ and } df \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))\}$.

(c) $\forall f \in \mathcal{H}L_0(B_X, Y), \quad L(f) = \|df\|$.

Theorem For complex Banach spaces X and Y ,

(a) $(\mathcal{H}L_0(B_X, Y), L(\cdot))$ is a Banach space.

(b) $\mathcal{H}L_0(B_X, Y) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y) \mid f(0) = 0 \text{ and } df \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))\}$.

(c) $\forall f \in \mathcal{H}L_0(B_X, Y), \quad L(f) = \|df\|$.

(d) (With $Y = \mathbb{C}$) The mapping

$$f \in \mathcal{H}L_0(B_X) \rightsquigarrow df \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, X^*)$$

is an isometry into. It is onto $\iff X = \mathbb{C}$.

(b) (repeated) $\mathcal{H}L_0(B_X, Y) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y) \mid f(0) = 0 \text{ and } df \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))\}$.

(b) (repeated) $\mathcal{HL}_0(B_X, Y) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y) \mid f(0) = 0 \text{ and } df \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))\}$.

Proof idea for (b) \subseteq : Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{HL}_0(B_X, Y)$. Then its derivative $df \in \mathcal{H}(B_X, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$. For any $x, y \in B_X$,

$$\|df(x)(y)\| = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x + hy) - f(x)\|}{\|hy\|} \leq L(f),$$

since f is Lipschitz. Thus df is a bounded holomorphic mapping, as required.

(b) (repeated) $\mathcal{HL}_0(B_X, Y) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y) \mid f(0) = 0 \text{ and } df \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))\}$.

Proof idea for (b) \subseteq : Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{HL}_0(B_X, Y)$. Then its derivative $df \in \mathcal{H}(B_X, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$. For any $x, y \in B_X$,

$$\|df(x)(y)\| = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x + hy) - f(x)\|}{\|hy\|} \leq L(f),$$

since f is Lipschitz. Thus df is a bounded holomorphic mapping, as required.

\supseteq : Now let $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y)$, $f(0) = 0$, such that df is an H^∞ -function. Apply the mean value theorem to $x, y \in B_X$:

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \|df\| \|x - y\|,$$

showing that f is Lipschitz with $L(f) \leq \|df\|$.

(b) (repeated) $\mathcal{HL}_0(B_X, Y) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y) \mid f(0) = 0 \text{ and } df \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))\}$.

Proof idea for (b) \subseteq : Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{HL}_0(B_X, Y)$. Then its derivative $df \in \mathcal{H}(B_X, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$. For any $x, y \in B_X$,

$$\|df(x)(y)\| = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x + hy) - f(x)\|}{\|hy\|} \leq L(f),$$

since f is Lipschitz. Thus df is a bounded holomorphic mapping, as required.

\supseteq : Now let $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X, Y)$, $f(0) = 0$, such that df is an H^∞ -function. Apply the mean value theorem to $x, y \in B_X$:

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \|df\| \|x - y\|,$$

showing that f is Lipschitz with $L(f) \leq \|df\|$.

If $X = Y = \mathbb{C}$, then we've shown that

$$\mathcal{HL}_0(\mathbb{D}) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \mid f(0) = 0 \text{ and } f' \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})\}.$$