# Remarks on some recent results in metric fixed point theory 

Erdal KARAPINAR

International Biweekly Online Seminar on Analysis, Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics, July 11, 2024.


The mathematician's patterns, like the painter's or the poet's must be beautiful; the ideas like the colours or the words, must fit together in a harmonious way. Beauty is the first test: there is no permanent place in the world for ugly mathematics.
G.H. Hardy (1887-1977)
"A century later the multitude is vaster and the utility little greater."

Brailey Sims
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$$
f(p)=p
$$

(1) J. Liouville, Second mémoire sur le développement des fonctions ou parties de fonctions en séries dont divers termes sont assujettis á satisfaire a une $m$ eme équation différentielle du second ordre contenant un paramétre variable, J. Math. Pure et Appi., 2 (1837), 16-35.
(2) E. Picard, Memoire sur la theorie des equations aux derivees partielles et la methode des approximations successives, J. Math. Pures et Appl., 6 (1890), 145-210.
(3) H.Poincaré, Surless courbes define barles equations differentiate less, J.de Math., 2 (1886), 54-65.

One of the accepted classification of Fixed Point Theory is the following:
(1) Topological Fixed Point Theory (Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem)
(2) Metric Fixed Point Theory (Banach's Fixed Point Theorem)
(3) Discrete Fixed Point Theory (Tarski's Fixed Point Theorem)

## Applications are ubiquitous

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(p)=p \\
T(p)=f(p)-p=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and, accordingly, finding the zeros of the mapping $T$ and finding the fixed point of $f$ becomes equivalent statement.
For example, finding the fixed point of

$$
f(x)=x^{2}-12
$$

is equivalent to solving the equation (finding zeros)

$$
x=f(x)=x^{2}-12 \Leftrightarrow x^{2}-x-12=0
$$

## Applications are ubiquitous

Consider one of the classical open problems of number theory, finding perfect numbers: Let $p: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $p(n)$ is the sum of all divisors of $n$ for $n>1$.
Thus, any fixed points of the function $p$ gives a perfect number, that is,

$$
p(n)=n
$$

In particular, 6 is the smallest perfect numbers, (see also, 28, 496, 8128)

$$
2^{74207280} \times\left(2^{74207281}-1\right), \text { with } 44,677,235 \text { digits, }
$$

is the biggest known perfect number.
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## Statement.

## Banach Fixed Point Theorem

Every contraction on a complete normed space has a unique fixed point.
"Stefan Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales, Fund. Math., 3, (1922), 133-181. "

## Statement.

## Banach Fixed Point Theorem

Every contraction on a complete normed space has a unique fixed point.
"Stefan Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales, Fund. Math., 3, (1922), 133-181. "

## Banach-Caccioppoli Fixed Point Theorem

Every contraction on a complete metric space has a unique fixed point.

```
R. Caccioppoli, Una teorema generale sull'esistenza di elementi uniti in una transformazione funzionale, Ren. Accad. Naz Lincei
11(1930), 794-799.
```


## Historical Remarks.

## Ed. Goursat

## Sur la théorie des fonctions implicites

Bulletin de la S. M. F., tome 31 (1903), p. 184-192<br>[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF_1903_31__184_1](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF_1903_31__184_1)

1. Soit $f(x, y)$ une fonction des deux variables indépendantes réelles $x$ et $y$, continue dans le voisinage d'un système de valeurs $x_{0}, y_{0}$, tel que $f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=0$. Pour préciser, nous supposerons que cette fonction est continue dans un domaine $\mathbf{D}$ défini par les inćgalités

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}-a \leqq x \leqq x_{0}+a, \quad y_{0}-b \leqq y \leqq y_{0}+b, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a$ et $b$ étant deux nombres positifs. Nous admettrons de plus que l'on peut choisir les nombres $a$ et $b$ assez petits pour que l'on ait

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)-f\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right|<\mathrm{K}\left|y^{\prime}-y^{\prime \prime}\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x$ étant une valeur quelconque comprise entre $x_{0}-a$ et $x_{0}+a$, $y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}$ étant de même deux valeurs quelconques de $y$ comprises entre $y_{0}-b$ et $y_{0}+b$, et K un nombre positif constant plus petit que l'unité. Cette deraière condition sera certainement vérifiée si la fonction $f(x, y)$ admet une dérivée partielle $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ s'annulant pour $x=x_{0}, y=y_{0}$, et continue dans le voisinage.

## Sketch of the proof.

## Formal Form of Banach-Caccioppoli Fixed Point Theorem

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for any pair of points $x, y \in X$,

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq k d(x, y)
$$

where $k$ is a constant in $[0,1)$. Then $T$ has a unique fixed point.
Proof of Banach-Caccioppoli's Theorem is awesome:
-Take any $x \in X$ and set $x_{0}:=x$ and $x_{i+1}:=T x_{i}$ (Picard operator)!
-Observe $T$ is asymptotically regular:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(T x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right)=0
$$

-Recall that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy and $(X, d)$ is complete! -Since, $T$ is necessarily continuous, limit of the constructed sequence becomes a fixed point.
-Uniqueness is derived via Reductio ad Absurdum.

## Applications are ubiquitous

Consider the Volterra integral equation

$$
u(x)=f(x)+\int_{0}^{x} F(x, y) u(y) d y
$$

where $f$ and the kernel $F$ are defined and continuous on, respectively, $[0, a]$ and $[0, a] \times[0, a]$. Let operator $T: C[0, a] \rightarrow[0, a]$ be defined by

$$
T(u(x))=f(x)+\int_{0}^{x} F(x, y) u(y) d y
$$

then for $u, v \in C[0, a]$ we derive easily

$$
\|T u-T v\| \leq a K\|u-v\| \text { where } K:=\sup _{0 \leq x, y \leq a}|F(x, y)|,
$$

and $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual supremum norm on $C[0, a]$.
Banach's contraction principle thus immediately yields a unique solution (with convergence of successive approximations) on any interval for which $a K<1$.

## Banach-Caccioppoli Theorem: Revisited

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for any pair of points $x, y \in X$,

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq k d(x, y)
$$

where $k$ is a constant in $[0,1)$. Then $T$ has a unique fixed point $x_{0}$. Further,

$$
d\left(T^{n} x, x_{0}\right) \leq \frac{k}{1-k} d(x, T x), \text { for all } x \in X, \text { and for all } n \geq 1
$$

If $k=1$ then $T$ is called non-expansive mapping.

Whether BCT is valid for non-expansive mapping $T$ ?

## Examples of Fixed-Point Free Non-Expansive Mappings

Let $C:=\left\{\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in c_{0}: 0 \leq x_{n} \leq 1\right.$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\}$ Define a self-mapping $T, S: C \rightarrow C$ as follows:

$$
T\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right):=\left\{1, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots\right\}
$$

and,

$$
S\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right):=\left\{1-x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots\right\} .
$$

It is clear that $\|T x-T y\|=\|x-y\|$ and, $\|S x-S y\|=\|x-y\|$ for any $x, y \in C$. Thus, both self-mappings $T$ and $S$ are non-expansive (in fact, metric isometries).
On the other hand, both $T$ and $S$ are fixed-point free. Indeed, only possible fixed point for $T$ is $p^{*}=\{1,1,1, \ldots, 1, \ldots\} \notin c_{0}$ and for $S$ is $q^{*}=\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, \ldots\right\} \notin c_{0}$. Note that $p^{*}, q^{*} \notin C$.

## Contractive Mappings

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for any pair of points $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,

$$
d(T x, T y)<d(x, y)
$$

Here, the mapping $T$ is called contractive .

Whether Banach-Caccioppoli Theorem is valid for contractive mappings?

## Examples of Fixed-Point Free Contractive Mappings

## Example 1

Let $(X:=[1, \infty), d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that $T x=x+\frac{1}{x}$.


## Examples of Fixed-Point Free Contractive Mappings

## Example 2

Let $(X:=\mathbb{R}, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that $T_{x}=\sqrt{1+x^{2}}$.


## Examples of Fixed－Point Free Contractive Mappings

## Example 3

Let $(X:=\mathbb{R}, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that $T x=\ln \left(1+e^{x}\right)$ ．


## Motivation...

- Easy to state the problem, theorem and solution.


## Motivation...

- Easy to state the problem, theorem and solution.
- Not only guarantee the existence and uniqueness, but also show how you get the precise solution!


## Motivation...

- Easy to state the problem, theorem and solution.
- Not only guarantee the existence and uniqueness, but also show how you get the precise solution!
- Huge application potential!...


## A retrospective approach: Linear case

One of the initial significant results, as a generalization of Banach contraction principle, was given in 1969 by Kannan.

## Kannan Theorem, 1969

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for any pair of points $x, y \in X$,

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq k[d(x, T x)+d(y, T y)],
$$

where $k$ is a constant in $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Then $T$ has a unique fixed point.
He removed the necessity of the continuity condition. In fact, "continuity" is not the assumption of the Banach contraction mapping principle. It is rather a consequence of the statement. Each contraction mapping is necessarily continuous:

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq k d(x, y)
$$

## A retrospective approach: Linear case

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a map. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$ if it satisfies any of the following conditions:
[Rus, Ćirić, Reich, 1971] There are $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ with $0 \leq \alpha+\beta+\gamma<1$ such that

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq \alpha d(x, y)+\beta d(x, T x)+\gamma d(y, T y), \quad \forall x, y \in X
$$

[Bianchini, 1972] There exists a number $k, 0<k<1$, such that, for each $x, y \in X$,

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq k \max \{d(x, T x), d(y, T y)\}
$$

## A retrospective approach: Linear case

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a map. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$ if it satisfies any of the following conditions:
[Seghal, 1972] There exists a number $k, 0<k<1$, such that, for each $x, y \in X$,

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq k \max \{d(x, y), d(x, T x), d(y, T y)\}
$$

[Chatterjea, 1972] There is a $k \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that

$$
d\left(T_{x}, T y\right) \leq k(d(x, T y)+d(y, T x)), \quad \forall x, y \in X
$$

[Anonymous] There is $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ with $0 \leq \alpha+\beta+\gamma<1$ such that

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq \alpha d(x, y)+\beta d(x, T y)+\gamma d(y, T x), \quad \forall x, y \in X
$$

## A retrospective approach: Linear case

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a map. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$ if it satisfies any of the following conditions:
[Hardy-Rogers, 1973] There are non-negative constants $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta$ with $0 \leq \alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta+\eta<1$, such that, for each $x, y \in X$,
$d\left(T_{x}, T y\right) \leq \alpha d(x, y)+\beta d(x, T x)+\gamma d(y, T y)+\delta d(x, T y)+\eta d(y, T x)$.
[Ćirić, 1974] There exists a number $k, 0<k<1$, such that, for each $x, y \in X$,

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq k \max \{d(x, y), d(x, T x), d(y, T y), d(x, T y), d(y, T x)\}
$$

## A retrospective approach

## Example 4

Let $T:[0,2] \rightarrow[0,2]$ be defined by $T_{x}:= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \in[0,1], \\ 1 & \text { if } x \in(1,2] .\end{cases}$
Note that Banach-Caccioppoli's Theorem fails since $T$ is not continuous at 1 . On the other hand, $T^{2} x=T(T x)=0$ for all $x \in[0,2]$ and it forms a contraction. Furthermore, 0 is the only fixed point of $T$.

## A retrospective approach

## Example 5

Let $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $T x:=\cos x$.
For this mapping, the Banach-Caccioppoli's Theorem fails. To indicate it, suppose, on the contrary, that there exists $k \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
|\cos x-\cos y| \leq k|x-y| \Leftrightarrow \frac{|\cos x-\cos x|}{|x-y|} \text { for all } x \neq y \text {. }
$$

Letting $y \rightarrow x$ we derive that $|\sin x| \leq k$ for all $x \in X$, which is a contradiction.
What is the bright side? Consider, $T^{2} x=T(T x)=\cos (\cos x)$ forms a contraction for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed,

$$
\left|\frac{\mid \cos (\cos x)-\cos (\cos y)}{|x-y|}\right|=|\sin x \sin (\cos c)|<\sin 1<1
$$

due to Mean-Value Theorem. Furthermore, 0.739 is the only fixed point of $T$.

## A retrospective approach

## Example 6

Let $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $T_{x}:=1-x$.
Note that Banach-Caccioppoli's Theorem fails since $T$ is not a contraction (it forms non-expansive mapping.) Further, $T^{2} x=T(T x)=x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and it, still, does form a contraction (it forms non-expansive mapping.) Notice that each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ forms a fixed point for $T^{2}$. but $\frac{1}{2}$ is the unique fixed point of $T$

Caution: "Non-expansive mapping" admit some additional assumption to possess fixed point!!!

## A retrospective approach

## Caccioppoli Theorem-1930

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space $X$ and $T$ be a self-mapping on $X$. For each $n$, if there is a constant $k_{n}$ such that

$$
d\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right) \leq k_{n} d(x, y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n}<\infty$, then the equation $T x=x$ has one and only one solution.

## A retrospective approach

## Kolmogorov-Fomin Theorem

If $T$ is a continuous mapping of a complete metric space $X$ into itself, such that the mapping $T$ is a contraction for some positive integer $n$, (that is, $d\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right) \leq k d(x, y)$.) then the equation $T x=x$ has one and only one solution.

- A. N. Kolmogorov, and S. V. Fomin, Elements of the Theory of Functions and Functional Analysis, Volume I, Metric and Normed Spaces, Graylock Press, Rochester, New York, 1957.
- S. C. Chu and J. B. Diaz, Remarks on a Generalization of Banach's Principle of Contraction Mappings, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 11(1965) 440-446.
- V. W. Bryant, A remark on a fixed point theorem for iterated mappings, Amer. Math. Monthly 75 (1968), 399-400.


## A retrospective approach

## Sehgal Theorem

On a complete metric space $(X, d)$, a continuous mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ possesses a unique fixed point provided that there exits $k \in[0,1)$ such that for each $x \in X$ there exists a positive integer $n(x)$ such that for each $y \in X$

$$
d\left(T^{n(x)} x, T^{n(x)} y\right) \leq k d(x, y)
$$

- V.M. Sehgal, A fixed point theorem for mappings with a contractive iterate, Proc. Amer. Soc., 23(1969), 631-634.
- Guseman, Jr.L.F. Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (1970), 615-618.


## A retrospective approach: Nonlinear case

## Rakotch Theorem, 1962

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a map. If there exists a monotone decreasing function $\alpha:(0, \infty) \rightarrow[0,1)$ such that, for each $x, y \in X, x \neq y$,

$$
d\left(T_{x}, T_{y}\right) \leq \alpha(d(x, y))
$$

Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$

## A retrospective approach: Nonlinear case

## Boyd and Wong, 1969

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a map. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$ if there exists an upper semi-continuous function $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq \phi(d(x, y)) \text { for all } x, y \in X
$$

## A retrospective approach: Nonlinear case

## Browder, 1968

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: M \rightarrow M$ a self-mapping where $M$ is a bounded subset of $X$. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$ if there exists a monotone non-decreasing and continuous from the right function $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\psi(t)<t$ for all $t>0$ such that

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq \phi(d(x, y)) \text { for all } x, y \in M
$$

## A retrospective approach: Nonlinear case

## Matkowski, 1975

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a self-mapping where $M$ is a bounded subset of $X$. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$ if there exists a monotone non-decreasing function $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ and satisfying $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{n}(t)=0$ such that

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq \phi(d(x, y)) \text { for all } x, y \in X
$$

## A retrospective approach: Nonlinear case

## Meir and Keeler, 1969

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a map. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$ if it satisfies any of the following conditions:
$\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists \delta>0: \varepsilon \leq d(x, y)<\varepsilon+\delta$ whenever $d(T x, T y)<\varepsilon, \forall x, y \in X$.

## A retrospective approach: Nonlinear case

## Geraghty, 1973

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a map. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$ if there exists a mapping $\beta:(0, \infty) \rightarrow[0,1)$ satisfying the condition, $\beta\left(t_{n}\right) \rightarrow 1$ implies $t_{n} \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq \beta(d(x, y)) d(x, y), \text { for any } x, y \in X
$$

## A retrospective approach: Nonlinear case

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a map. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$ if it satisfies any of the following conditions:
(15) [Ya. I.Alber \& S. Guerre-Delabriere 1997, Rhoades, 2000] There exists a lower semi-continuous function $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq d(x, y)-\phi(d(x, y)) \text { for all } x, y \in X
$$

(weak- $\phi$-contractive).
(16) [Dutta and Choudhury, 2008] There exist alternating distance functions $\phi, \psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\psi(d(T x, T y)) \leq \psi(d(x, y))-\phi(d(x, y)) \text { for all } x, y \in X
$$

(weak $\psi-\phi$-contractive.)
Note that $\psi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is called an altering distance function if $\psi(t)$ is continuous and nondecreasing and $\psi(t)=0$ if and only if $t=0$.

## A retrospective approach: Nonlinear case

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a map. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$ if it satisfies any of the following conditions:
[Das \& Gupta, 1975] There exist non-negative constants $k_{1}, k_{2}$ with $0<k_{1}+k_{2}<1$, such that, for each $x, y \in X$,

$$
d\left(T_{x}, T_{y}\right) \leq k_{1} \frac{[1+d(x, T x)] d(y, T y)}{1+d(x, y)}+k_{2} d(x, y)
$$

[Jaggi, 1977] There exist non-negative constants $k_{1}, k_{2}$ with $0<k_{1}+k_{2}<1$, such that, for each $x, y \in X, x \neq y$,

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq k_{1} \frac{d(x, T x) \cdot d(y, T y)}{d(x, y)}+k_{2} d(x, y)
$$

J. Jachymski, Equivalent conditions for generalized contractions on (ordered) metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (3) (2011) 768-774.
(2)
J. Jachymski, I. Jozwik, Nonlinear contractive conditions: a comparison and related problems, fixed point theory and its applications Polish Acad. Sci., 77 (2007), 123146.
(3)
J. Jachymski, Equivalence of some contractivity properties over metrical structures, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 125, Number 8, August 1997, Pages 2327-2335

## Theorem

Let $T$ be a self-map of an ordered partial metric space ( $X, d, \preceq$ ). The following statements are equivalent:
(i) there exist functions $\psi, \eta \in \Im$ such that

$$
\psi(d(T x, T y)) \leq \psi(d(x, y))-\eta(d(x, y)) \text { for any } x, y \in X \text { with } x \preceq y
$$

(ii) there exists a function $\beta:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that for any bounded sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ of positive reals, $\beta\left(t_{n}\right) \rightarrow 1$ implies $t_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq \beta(d(x, y)) \psi(d(x, y)) \text { for any } x, y \in X \text { with } x \preceq y,
$$

(iii) there exists a continuous function $\eta:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that $\eta^{-1}(\{0\})=0$ and

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq d(x, y)-\eta(d(x, y)) \text { for any } x, y \in X \text { with } x \preceq y
$$

(iv) there exists function $\psi \in \Im$ and a non-decreasing, right continuous function $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(t)<t$ and for all $t>0$ with

$$
\psi(d(T x, T y)) \leq \varphi(\psi(d(x, y))) \text { for any } x, y \in X \text { with } x \preceq y
$$

(v) there exists a continuous and non-decreasing function $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(t)<t$ and for all $t>0$ with

$$
\psi(d(T x, T y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)) \text { for any } x, y \in X \text { with } x \preceq y .
$$



A COMPARISON OF VARIOUS DEFINITIONS OF CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS

## ${ }^{3 Y}$

B. E. RHOADES

Aasract. A number of authors have defined contractive type mapping on a conplete metric pace $X$ which are generalizations of the well-know, has a unique fixed point. The fixed point can always be found by usin Reard iteration, beginning with some initial chooce $x_{0} \in X$. In this paper wi compare this multitude of definitions.
$X$ denotes a complete metric space with distunce fasction $d$, and $f$ function mapping $X$ isto isselt.

1. Definitions of contractive type mappings.
(1) (Banach) There exists a number $a, 0<a<1$, such that, for each $x, y \in X$,

$$
d(f(x), f(y))<a d(x, y) .
$$

(2) (Rakotch [21D There exists a monotone decreasing function $\alpha:(0, \infty)$ $\rightarrow[0,1)$ such that, for each $x, y \in X, x \neq y$,

$$
d(f(x), f(y)) \leqslant a d(x, y) .
$$

(3) (Edelstein [10]) For each $x, y \in X, x \neq y$,

$$
d(f(x), f(y))<d(x, y) .
$$

(4) (Kannan [18]) There exists a number $a, 0<a<\frac{1}{2}$, such that, for each $x, y \in X$,

$$
d(f(x), f(y)) \leqslant a[d(x, f(x))+d(y, f(y))]
$$

(5) (Bianchini [3]) There exists a number $h, 0 \leqslant h<1$, such that, for each $x, y \in X$,

$$
d(f(x), f(y))<h \max (d(x, f(x)), d(y, f(y))] .
$$

(6) For each $x, y \in X, x \neq y$,

Rectived by the edilors Jene 4, 1974 zed, in revised form, March 5, 1975 and November is. 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject clasifications (1970). Primary 47H10.

## Mathemalica Balkanica <br> New series Vol. 4, 1990, Fasc. 1

## Theorems and Counterexamples on Contractive Mappings

## J. Kincses, V. Totik

Presented by BI. Sendov
'Theorems and counterexamples are proved about fixed points of contractive mappings in complete
problems.

## 1. Introduction

In the last 20 years a relatively wide study of contractive type mappings was carried out by different authors (a good summary and detailed reference can be ound in [11]. Thus, it seems to be worthwh
One of the which we do in the present paper. contractive mappings is [1] which contains several results as well as open problems for further study (although we have to remark that [1] contains some mistakes: Theorems 9 and 15 are not true - see Exaple 2 below). We shall keep the definitions of [1] and prove 7 theorems and present 7 counterexamples which cover most of the possible cases (t) the summary in [1].

Section 2 contains the definitions, section 3 the "truth table" and some mments on it, finally in sections 4 and 5 we prove our theorems and give our counterexamples.

## 2. Definitions

In what follows ( $X, d$ ) will always be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ mapping of $X$ into itself. Recall that $x \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of $T x=x$.
Following B. E. Rhoades [1] we define the following contractive type mappings.
(1) (Banach) There exists a number $a, 0 \leqq a<1$ such that, for each $x, y \in X$
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Let $X \neq \emptyset$ and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping. A point $x_{0} \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of $T$ if $T_{x_{0}}=x_{0}$. In the metric fixed point theory researchers try to find the answers of the following questions:

Let $X \neq \emptyset$ and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping. A point $x_{0} \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of $T$ if $T x_{0}=x_{0}$. In the metric fixed point theory researchers try to find the answers of the following questions:
(1) (Existence) What is(are) the condition(s) on $T: X \rightarrow X$ and / or conditions on the structure on $X$ such that there exists at least one point $x_{0} \in X$ such that $T x_{0}=x_{0}$.
(2) (Uniqueness) Is $x_{0} \in X$ unique?
(3) (Non-self Mapping) What is(are) the condition(s) for $T: A \rightarrow B$ such that $A, B \subset X$ and / or conditions on the structure on $X$ such that there exists at least one point $x_{0} \in X$ such that $T x_{0}=x_{0}$.
(4) What is(are) the condition(s) for $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ and / or conditions on the structure on $X$ such that there exists at least one point $x_{0} \in X$ such that $T x_{0}=S x_{0}$ or $T x_{0}=x_{0}=S x_{0}$.

## Directions!

- By changing the frame (structure of the abstract space)
- By changing the property of the operators (contractions)
- Coincidence Point and Common Fixed Points (for 2 or more operators)


## Directions!

- By changing the frame (structure of the abstract space)
- Cone Metric Spaces
- Partial Metric Spaces
- G-Metric Spaces
- b-Metric Spaces
- and so on...
- By changing the property of the operators (contractions)
- Cyclic Contractions
- $T: X^{n} \rightarrow X$ and finding n-tuple fixed point
" $\mathrm{n}=2$, Coupled, $\mathrm{n}=3$, Tripled, $\mathrm{n}=4$, Quadruple" fixed points
- Multivalued Operators, and so on...
- Coincidence Point and Common Fixed Points (for 2 or more operators)
- Commuting Operators
- Weakly / Occasionally Weakly - Compatible operators
- and so on...


## Structure of some basic abstract spaces

Let $X \neq \emptyset$ and let $d: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$. For every $x, y, z \in X$, we have
$\left(d_{1}\right) d(x, x)=0$;
$\left(d_{2}\right) d(x, y)=d(y, x)=0 \Longrightarrow x=y$;
$\left(d_{3}\right) d(x, y)=d(y, x)$;
$\left(d_{4}\right) d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z)$;
$\left(d_{4 a}\right) d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z)-d(z, z)$;
$\left(d_{4 b}\right) d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, w)+d(w, z)$ distinct $y, w$;
$\left(d_{4 c}\right) d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y)+d(y, z)]$ for some $s \geq 1$;
$\left(d_{4 d}\right) \quad d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z)$;
$\left(d_{5}\right) \quad d(x, x) \leq d(x, y)$.

| Metric | $\left(d_{1}\right)-\left(d_{4}\right)$ | Bianciari - distance | $\left(d_{1}\right)-\left(d_{3}\right),\left(d_{4 b}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dislocated - metric | $\left(d_{2}\right)-\left(d_{4}\right)$ | Quasi-metric | $\left(d_{1}\right),\left(d_{2}\right),\left(d_{4}\right)$ |
| Semi-metric | $\left(d_{1}\right),\left(d_{2}\right),\left(d_{3}\right)$ | partialmetric | $\left(d_{2}\right),\left(d_{3}\right),\left(d_{4 a}\right)$ and $\left(d_{5}\right)$ |
| $b-$ metric | $\left(d_{1}\right)-\left(d_{3}\right),\left(d_{4 c}\right) \mid$ | ultra - metric | $\left(d_{1}\right)-\left(d_{3}\right),\left(d_{4 d}\right)$ |
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## 2-metric

During the sixties the notation of 2-metric spaces was introduced by Gahler:
Definition: Let $X$ be a nonempty set. A function $d$ : $X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$, satisfying the following properties:
(d1) For distinct $x, y \in X$, there exists $z \in X$ such that $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$.
(d2) $d(x, y, z)=0$ if two of the triple $x, y, z \in X$ are equal.
(d3) $d(x, y, z)=d(x, z, y)=\cdots \quad$ (symmetry in all three variables),
(d4) $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, a)+d(x, a, z)+d(a, y, z)$,
for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ (rectangle inequality),
is called a 2- metric on $X$. The set $X$ together with such a 2-metric, $d$, is called a 2-metric space and denoted by $(X, d)$.

S. Gahler, 2-metriche raume und ihre topologische strukture, Math. Nachr. 26, (1963), 115-148.

亘
S. Gahler, Zur geometric 2-metriche raume, Reevue Roumaine de Math.Pures et Appl., XI, 1966, 664-669.

## 2-metric

Gahler claimed that a 2-metric is a generalization of the usual notion of a metric, but it is not.
It was shown that 2-metric is not continuous function whereas an ordinary metric is.
Gahler mentioned that geometrically $d(x, y, z)$ represents the area of a triangle formed by the points $x, y$, and $z$ in $X$, but not necessarily.
回
K. S. Ha, Y. J. Cho, S. S. Kim, M. S. Khan Strictly convex and 2-convex 2-normed spaces, Math. Japonica, 33(3), (1988), 375-384.

R
A.K. Sharma, A note on fixed points in 2-metric spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 11(2), (1980), 1580-83.

## D-metric

In 1992 Dhage attempted to develop 2-metric by introducing a new concept of generalized metric:

## Definition

Let $X$ be a nonempty set, a function $D: X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$satisfying the following axioms:
(D1) $D(x, y, z) \geq 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$,
(D2) $D(x, y, z)=0$ if and only if $x=y=z$,
(D3) $D(x, y, z)=D(x, z, y)=\cdots \quad$ (symmetry in all three variables),
(D4) $D(x, y, z) \leq D(x, y, a)+D(x, a, z)+D(a, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z, a \in X . \quad$ (rectangle inequality),
is called a generalized metric, or a $D$-metric on $X$. The set $X$ together with such a generalized metric, $D$, is called a generalized metric space, or $D$ - metric space, and denoted by $(X, D)$.
It is called symmetric if $D(x, x, y)=D(x, y, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

## D-metric

(1) B.C. Dhage, Generalized Metric Space and Mapping With Fixed Point, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 84, (1992), 329-336.
(2) B.C. Dhage, On Generalized Metric Spaces and Topological Structure II, Pure. Appl. Math. Sci. 40, (1994), 37-41.
(3) B.C. Dhage, On Continuity of Mappings in D-metric Spaces, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 86, (1994), 503-508.

4 B.C. Dhage, On Kanan Type Maps In D-metric spaces, Jornal of natural \& Physical Sciences. 11, (1997), 21-38.
5 B.C. Dhage, Generalized D-Metric Spaces and Multi-valued Contraction Mappings, An. științ. Univ. Al.I. Cuza Iași. Mat(N.S), 44, (1998), 179-200.
(6) B.C. Dhage, Generalized Metric Space and Topological Structure I, An. științ. Univ. Al.I. Cuza lași. Mat(N.S). 46, (2000), 3-24.
(7) B.C. Dhage, On Some Fixed Point Theorems For Contractive Mapping In D-Metric Spaces, Analele. științifice Ale Univ. Al.I. Cuza lași. Mat(N.S). 46, (2000), 31-38.

## geometrically D-metric

Intuitively $D(x, y, z)$ may be thought of as providing some measure of the perimeter of the triangle with vertices at $x, y$ and $z$. If $\rho: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is any semi-metric on $X$ (that is, $\rho$ is a positive, symmetric function with $\rho(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$ ) then it is easily verified that $D$ defined by either,
(1) $D(x, y, z):=\rho(x, y)+\rho(x, z)+\rho(y, z)$, or
(2) $D(x, y, z):=\max \{\rho(x, y), \rho(x, z), \rho(y, z)\}$,

## D-metric

In particular it should be noted that the rectangle inequality satisfied by $D$-metrics arising in this way from a metric does not depend on the presence of a triangle inequality for the underlying metric, and that the inequality is only sharp in degenerate cases.
In a $D$-metric space $(X, D)$, three possible notions for the convergence of a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ to a point $x$ present themselves:
(C1) $\quad x_{n} \rightarrow x$ if $D\left(x_{n}, x, x\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
(C2) $\quad x_{n} \rightarrow x$ if $D\left(x_{n}, x_{n}, x\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
(C3) $\quad x_{n} \rightarrow x$ if $D\left(x_{m}, x_{n}, x\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$,
Clearly, $(C 3) \Rightarrow(C 2)$ and if $D$ is symmetric then $(C 1) \Leftrightarrow(C 2)$.

## D-metric

In generalized metric spaces, the proofs of most fixed point results claimed by Dhage and others relied, either directly or indirectly,
-on the continuity of $D$ with respect to convergence in the sense of (C3), or

- with respect to the convergence in the sense of (C2).

However, there are counter examples which were given by Mustafa and Sims.

## G-metric

In 2003, Mustafa and Sims attempted to remove the weakness of the $D$-metric, by introducing $G$-metrics.

## Definition

A $G$-metric space is a pair $(X, G)$, where $X$ is a nonempty set, and $G$ is a nonnegative real-valued function defined on $X \times X \times X$ such that for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ we have:
(G1) $G(x, y, z)=0$ if $x=y=z$;
(G2) $0<G(x, x, y)$; for all $x, y \in X$, with $x \neq y$;
(G3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$, with $z \neq y$;
(G4) $G(x, y, z)=G(x, z, y)=G(y, z, x)=\ldots$, (symmetry in all three variables); and
(G5) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a)+G(a, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z, a \in X$, (rectangle inequality ).
The function $G$ is called a $G$-metric on $X$.

## $G$-metric

Let $(X, G)$ be a $G$-metric space, let $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subseteq X$ be a sequence and let $x \in X$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(a) $\left\{x_{n}\right\} G$-converges to $x$.
(b) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, x_{n}, x\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon>0, \exists n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}: x_{n} \in$ $B_{G}(x, \varepsilon) \forall n \geq n_{0}$.
(c) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, x, x\right)=0$.
(d) $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty, m \geq n} G\left(x_{n}, x_{m}, x\right)=0$.
(e) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, x_{n}, x\right)=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x\right)=0$.
(f) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, x, x\right)=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x\right)=0$.
(g) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x\right)=0$.
(h) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)=0 \& \lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty, m>n} G\left(x_{n}, x_{m}, x\right)=0$.
(i) $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty, m>n} G\left(x_{n}, x_{m}, x\right)=0$.

## G-metric

Every $G$-metric on $X$ defines a metric $d_{G}$ on $X$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G}(x, y)=G(x, y, y)+G(y, x, x) \text { for all } x, y \in X . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## G-metric

Every $G$-metric on $X$ defines a metric $d_{G}$ on $X$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G}(x, y)=G(x, y, y)+G(y, x, x) \text { for all } x, y \in X \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. The functions
$G_{m}(d), G_{s}(d): X \times X \times X \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{m}(d)(x, y, z)=\max \{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## G-metric

Every $G$-metric on $X$ defines a metric $d_{G}$ on $X$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G}(x, y)=G(x, y, y)+G(y, x, x) \text { for all } x, y \in X \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. The functions
$G_{m}(d), G_{s}(d): X \times X \times X \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$, defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{m}(d)(x, y, z)=\max \{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\},  \tag{2}\\
& G_{s}(d)(x, y, z)=\frac{1}{3}[d(x, y)+d(y, z)+d(z, x)] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, are standard $G$-metrics on $X$.

## $G$-metric

## Definition

Let $(X, G)$ be a $G$-metric space, and let $x_{0} \in X$, given $\varepsilon>0$, define the sets

$$
B_{G}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right):=\left\{y \in X ; G\left(x_{0}, y, y\right)<\varepsilon\right\}
$$

and

$$
\bar{B}_{G}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right):=\left\{y \in X, G\left(x_{0}, y, y\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\}
$$

Then, $B_{G}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ and $\bar{B}_{G}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ are called the open and closed balls, with centers $x_{0}$ and radius $\epsilon$, respectively.

Each $G$-metric $G$ on $X$ generates a topology $\tau_{G}$ on $X$ whose base is a family of open $G$-balls $\left\{B_{G}(x, \varepsilon): x \in X, \varepsilon>0\right\}$. A nonempty set $A$ in the $G$-metric space $(X, G)$ is $G$-closed if $\bar{A}=A$. Moreover,

$$
x \in \bar{A} \Leftrightarrow B_{G}(x, \varepsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset, \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0
$$

## Definition

A sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ in a $G$-metric space $X$ is said to converge if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x, x_{n}, x_{m}\right)=0$, and one say that the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is $G$-convergent to $x$. We call $x$ the limit of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and write $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ or $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$.

## Theorem

Every $G$-convergent sequence in a $G$-metric space $(X, G)$ has a unique limit.

## Definition

In a $G$-metric space $X$, a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is said to be $G$-Cauchy if given $\epsilon>0$, there is $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $G\left(x_{n}, x_{m}, x_{l}\right)<\epsilon$, for all $n, m, I \geq N$, that is $G\left(x_{n}, x_{m}, x_{l}\right) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \longrightarrow \infty$.

## Definition

A $G$-metric space $X$ is said to be complete if every $G$-Cauchy sequence in $X$ is $G$-convergent in $X$.

## Analog of Banach Contraction (Mapping) Principle

## Theorem

Let $(X, G)$ be a complete $G$-metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following condition for all $x, y, z \in X$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(T x, T y, T z) \leq k G(x, y, z) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in[0,1)$. Then $T$ has a unique fixed point.

## Connection of $G$-metric and quasi-metric.

## Theorem

Let $(X, G)$ be a $G$-metric space. Let $d: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the function defined by $d(x, y)=G(x, y, y)$. Then
(1) $(X, d)$ is a quasi-metric space;
(2) $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is $G$-convergent to $x \in X$ if and only if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is convergent to $x$ in $(X, d)$;
(3) $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is G-Cauchy if and only if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy in $(X, d)$;
(1) $(X, G)$ is $G$-complete if and only if $(X, d)$ is complete.

## Connection of $G$-metric and metric.

## Fact:

Every quasi-metric induces a metric, that is, if $(X, d)$ is a quasi-metric space, then the function $\delta: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ defined by $\delta(x, y)=\max \{d(x, y), d(y, x)\}$ is a metric on $X$.

## Connection of $G$-metric and metric.

## Fact:

Every quasi-metric induces a metric, that is, if $(X, d)$ is a quasi-metric space, then the function $\delta: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ defined by $\delta(x, y)=\max \{d(x, y), d(y, x)\}$ is a metric on $X$.

As an immediate consequence of this definition with the Theorem above:

## Connection of $G$-metric and metric.

## Fact:

Every quasi-metric induces a metric, that is, if $(X, d)$ is a quasi-metric space, then the function $\delta: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ defined by $\delta(x, y)=\max \{d(x, y), d(y, x)\}$ is a metric on $X$.

As an immediate consequence of this definition with the Theorem above:

## Theorem

Let $(X, G)$ be a $G$-metric space. Let $d: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the function defined by $d(x, y)=G(x, y, y)$. Then
(1) $(X, d)$ is a quasi-metric space;
(2) $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is $G$-convergent to $x \in X$ if and only if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is convergent to $x$ in $(X, \delta)$;
(3) $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is G-Cauchy if and only if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy in $(X, \delta)$;
(9) $(X, G)$ is $G$-complete if and only if $(X, \delta)$ is complete.

## $S$-metric

Another such generalization was given by S.Sedghi, N. Shobe and A. Aliouche in 2012 as follows:

## Definition

Let $X$ be a nonempty set, a function $S: X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$satisfying the following axioms:
(S1) $S(x, y, z)=0$ if and only if $x=y=z$,
(S2) $S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a)+S(y, y, a)+S(z, z, a)$, for all $x, y, z, a \in X$. (rectangle inequality), is called a $S$-metric on $X$. The pair $(X, S)$ is called as $S$ - metric space.

## $S$-metric

## Theorem

Let $(X, S)$ be a $S$-metric space. Let $d: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the function defined by $d(x, y)=S(x, x, y)$. Then
(1) $(X, d)$ is a b-metric space;
(2) $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is $S$-convergent to $x \in X$ if and only if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is convergent to $x$ in $(X, d)$;
(3) $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is S-Cauchy if and only if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy in $(X, d)$;

## $S$-metric
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## $S$-metric
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## Cone metric space

Let $E$ be a real Banach space. A subset $P$ of $E$ is called a cone, if and only if, the following hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{1}\right) P \text { is closed, nonempty, and } P \neq\{0\}, \\
& \left(a_{2}\right) a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a, b \geq 0, \text { and } x, y \in P \text { imply that } a x+b y \in P, \\
& \left(a_{3}\right) x \in P \text { and }-x \in P \text { imply that } x=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given a cone $P \subset E$, we define a partial ordering $\leq$ with respect to $P$ by $x \leq y$, if and only if, $y-x \in P$. We write $x<y$ to indicate that $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ stand for $y-x \in \operatorname{int} P$, where int $P$ denotes the interior of $P$.
The cone $P$ is called normal, if there exist a number $K>1$ such that, $0 \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq K\|y\|$, for all $x, y \in E$.
The least positive number satisfying this, called the normal constant.

## Cone metric space

Throughout this talk, $E$ denotes a real Banach space, $P$ denotes a cone in $E$ with int $P \neq \emptyset$, and $\leq$ denotes partial ordering with respect to $P$.

## Definition

Let $X$ be a nonempty set. A function $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ is called a cone metric on $X$, if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(b_{1}\right) d(x, y) \geq 0, \forall x, y \in X \text { and } d(x, y)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=y, \\
& \left(b_{2}\right) d(x, y)=d(y, x), \text { for all } x, y \in X \\
& \left(b_{3}\right) d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)+d(y, z), \text { for all } x, y, z \in X .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $(X, d)$ is called a cone metric space.

## Definition

Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $X$ and $x \in X$. If for all $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$, there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n>n_{0}, d\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) \ll c$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be convergent and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $x$ and $x$ is the limit of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

## Cone metric space

## Definition

Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $X$. If for all $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$, there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n>n_{0}$, $d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \ll c$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a Cauchy sequence in $X$.

## Definition

Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space. If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in $X$, then $X$ is called a complete cone metric space.

## Definition

Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space. A self-map $T$ on $X$ is said to be continuous, if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$ implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T\left(x_{n}\right)=T(x)$ for all sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $X$.

## Cone metric space

## Lemma

Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space and $P$ be a cone. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $X$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $x$, if and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, x\right)=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lemma

Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $X$. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent, then it is a Cauchy sequence.

## Lemma

Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space and $P$ be a cone in $E$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $X$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, if and only if, $\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right)=0$.
(1) W.-S. Du, A note on cone metric fixed point theory and its equivalence Nonlinear Analysis 72 (2010), no: 5, 2259-2261.
(2) T.Abdeljawad, E.Karapinar, A gap in the paper "A note on cone metric fixed point theory and its equivalence" [Nonlinear Anal. 72(5), (2010), 2259-2261], Gazi University Journal of Science 24(2), 233-234 (2011) M.A. Khamsi, Remarks on cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2010)
(3) A. Amini-Harandi, M. Fakhar, Fixed point theory in cone metric spaces obtained via the scalarization method, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 59 (2010) 35293534
(1) Y. Feng and W. Mao, The Equivalence of Cone Metric Spaces and Metric Spaces, Fixed Point Theory, 11(2010), No. 2, 259-264
(3) S. Jankovic, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenovic, On cone metric spaces: A survey Nonlinear Anal.(TMA), 74( 7), 2591-2601 (2011)
(0) Z.Kadelburg, S. Radenovic, V. Rakocevic, A note on the equivalence of some metric and cone metric fixed point results Appl. Math. Lett., Volume 24, Issue 3, March 2011, Pages 370-374

## Complex valued metric spaces

The concept of complex valued metric space which is given by Azam et al. ${ }^{1}$ Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the set of complex numbers and $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order $\precsim$ on $\mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$
z_{1} \precsim z_{2} \text { if and only if } \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
z_{1} \precsim z_{2}
$$

if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(h_{1}\right) & \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}\right) \\
\left(h_{2}\right) & \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}\right) \\
\left(h_{3}\right) & \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}\right) \\
\left(h_{4}\right) & \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

[^0]In particular, we will write $z_{1} \precsim z_{2}$ if $z_{1} \neq z_{2}$ and one of $\left(h_{1}\right),\left(h_{2}\right)$ and $\left(h_{3}\right)$ is satisfied and we will write $z_{1} \prec z_{2}$ if only $\left(h_{3}\right)$ is satisfied. Note that

$$
0 \precsim z_{1} \precsim z_{2} \Longrightarrow\left|z_{1}\right|<\left|z_{2}\right|
$$

where $|\cdot|$ represent modulus or magnitude of $z$, and

$$
z_{1} \precsim z_{2}, z_{2} \prec z_{3} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad z_{1} \prec z_{3}
$$

## Definition

Let $X$ be a nonempty set. A function $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued metric on $X$, if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(b_{1}\right) 0 \precsim d(x, y) \text { for all } x, y \in X \text { and } d(x, y)=0 \text {, if and only if, } \\
& x=y, \\
&\left(b_{2}\right) d(x, y)=d(y, x) \text {, for all } x, y \in X, \\
&\left(b_{3}\right) d(x, y) \precsim d(x, z)+d(y, z) \text {, for all } x, y, z \in X .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the pair $(X, d)$ is called a complex valued metric space.

## Complex valued metric spaces

Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$ and $x \in X$. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $0 \prec c$ there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n>n_{0}, d\left(x_{n}, x\right) \prec c$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is said to be convergent, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $x$ and $x$ is the limit point of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. We denote this by $\lim _{n} x_{n}=x$, or $x_{n} \rightarrow x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \prec c$ there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n>n_{0}, d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+m}\right) \prec c$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence in ( $X, d$ ). If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in $(X, d)$, then $(X, d)$ is called a complete complex valued metric space.

## Lemma

Let $(X, d)$ be a complex valued metric space and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $x$ if and only if $\left|d\left(x_{n}, x\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## Lemma

Let $(X, d)$ be a complex valued metric space and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\left|d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+m}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\left(X, d_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ be a complex-valued metric space where $\mathbb{C}$ is the skew field of complex number z, i.e.,

$$
\mathbb{C}=\left\{x+y i:(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\}
$$

Define

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}=\{x+y i: x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}
$$

It is apparent that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Assume $\mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the zero of $\mathbb{C}$ from now on. Note that $(\mathbb{C},|\cdot|)$ is a real Banach space.

## Lemma

$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a normal cone in real Banach space $(\mathbb{C},||$.$) .$

## Lemma

Any complex-valued metric space $\left(X, d_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ is a cone metric space.

## Quaternion-valued metric space: Basics

The skew field of quaternion denoted by $\mathbb{H}$ means to write each element $q \in \mathbb{H}$ in the form

$$
q=x_{0}+x_{1} i+x_{2} j+x_{3} k,
$$

$x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$; where $1, i, j, k$ are the basis elements of $\mathbb{H}$ and $n=1,2,3$. For these elements we have the multiplication rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i^{2}=j^{2}=k^{2}=-1, \\
& i j=-j i=k, \\
& k j=-j k=-i, \\
& k i=-i k=j .
\end{aligned}
$$

The conjugate element $\bar{q}$ is given by

$$
\bar{q}=x_{0}-x_{1} i-x_{2} j-x_{3} k .
$$

The quaternion modulus has the form of

$$
|q|=\sqrt{x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}}
$$

A quaternion $q$ may be viewed as a four dimensional vector $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.

## Quaternion-valued metric space: Partial order

Define a partial order $\lesssim$ on $\mathbb{H}$ as follows:

$$
q_{1} \lesssim q_{2} \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Re}(q 1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(q 2) \\
\operatorname{Im}_{s}\left(q_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{Im}_{s}\left(q_{2}\right), q_{1}, q_{2} \in \mathbb{H}, s=i, j, k .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $I m_{i}=x_{1}, I m_{j}=x_{2}$ and $I m_{k}=x_{3}$.

## Quaternion-valued metric space

## It follows that $q_{1} \lesssim q_{2}$ if one of the following conditions hold:

```
(I) \(\quad \operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Im} m_{s_{1}}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im} m_{s_{1}}\left(q_{2}\right) \quad\) where \(s_{1}=j, k ; \operatorname{Im}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im} m_{i}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
(II) \(\quad \operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
(III) \(\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
(IV) \(\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
(V) \(\quad \operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
(VI) \(\quad \operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
(VII) \(\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
(VIII) \(\quad \operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
(IX) \(\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
(X) \(\quad \operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
(XI) \(\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
(XII) \(\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
(XIII) \(\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
(XIV) \(\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
(XV) \(\quad \operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
\((X V I) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{2}\right) ;\)
    \(I m_{s_{2}}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im} m_{s_{2}}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
    \(I m_{s_{3}}\left(q_{1}\right)=I m_{s_{3}}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
    \(\operatorname{Im}_{s_{1}}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im}_{s_{1}}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
    \(\operatorname{Im}_{s_{2}}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im}_{s_{2}}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
    \(\operatorname{Im}_{s_{3}}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im}_{s_{3}}\left(q_{2}\right)\);
    \(\operatorname{Im}_{s}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
    \(\operatorname{Im}_{s}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
    \(\operatorname{Im} m_{s_{1}}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im} m_{s_{1}}\left(q_{2}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Im}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
    \(\operatorname{Im}_{s_{2}}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im} m_{s_{2}}\left(q_{2}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Im} m_{j}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
    \(\operatorname{Im} m_{s_{3}}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im} m_{s_{3}}\left(q_{2}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Im} m_{k}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im} m_{k}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
    \(\operatorname{Im} m_{s_{1}}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im} m_{s_{1}}\left(q_{2}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Im}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
    \(\operatorname{Im}_{s_{2}}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im}{s_{2}}\left(q_{2}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Im}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
    \(\operatorname{Im}_{s_{3}}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im}_{s_{3}}\left(q_{2}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Im}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
    \(\operatorname{Im}_{s}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im}_{s}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
    \(\operatorname{Im}_{s}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im}_{s}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
```

```
where \(s_{2}=i, k ; \operatorname{Im}_{j}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
where \(s_{3}=i, j ; \operatorname{lm}_{k}\left(q_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Im} k\left(q_{2}\right)\)
\(\operatorname{Im}_{i}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
\(I m_{j}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
\(\operatorname{Im} m_{k}\left(q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{2}\right)\)
```


## remark

In particular, we write $q_{1} \lesseqgtr q_{2}$ if $q_{1} \neq q_{2}$ and one from (I) to $(X V I)$ is satisfied. Also, we will write $q_{1}<q_{2}$ if only $(X V)$ is satisfied. It should be remarked that

$$
q_{1} \lesssim q_{2} \Rightarrow\left|q_{1}\right| \leq\left|q_{2}\right| .
$$

## Quaternion-valued metric space

Ahmed et al. ${ }^{2}$, introduced the definition of the quaternion valued metric space as follows:

## Definition

Let $X$ be a nonempty set. A function $d_{\mathbb{H}}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is called a quaternion valued metric on $X$, if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(d_{1}\right) 0 \lesssim d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y) \text { for all } x, y \in X \text { and } d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y)=0 \text {, if and only if, } \\
& x=y, \\
&\left(d_{2}\right) d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y)=d_{\mathbb{H}}(y, x), \text { for all } x, y \in X, \\
&\left(d_{3}\right) d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y) \lesssim d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, z)+d_{\mathbb{H}}(y, z) \text {, for all } x, y, z \in X .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\left(X, d_{\mathbb{H}}\right)$ is called a quaternion valued metric space.

[^1]
## Quaternion-valued metric space

Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$ and $x \in X$. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $0 \lesssim c$ there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n>n_{0}, d_{\mathbb{H}}\left(x_{n}, x\right) \lesssim c$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is said to be convergent, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $x$ and $x$ is the limit point of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. We denote this by $\lim _{n} x_{n}=x$, or $x_{n} \rightarrow x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \lesssim c$ there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n>n_{0}, d_{\mathbb{H}}\left(x_{n}, x_{n+m}\right) \lesssim c$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence in $\left(X, d_{\mathbb{H}}\right)$. If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in $\left(X, d_{\mathbb{H}}\right)$, then $\left(X, d_{\mathbb{H}}\right)$ is called a complete quaternion valued metric space.

## Lemma

Let $(X, d)$ be a quaternion valued metric space and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $x$ if and only if $\left|d\left(x_{n}, x\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## Lemma

Let $\left(X, d_{\mathbb{H}}\right)$ be a quaternion valued metric space and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\left|d_{\mathbb{H}}\left(x_{n}, x_{n+m}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## Quaternion-valued metric space

Let $\left(X, d_{\mathbb{H}}\right)$ be a quaternion-valued metric space where $\mathbb{H}$ is the skew field of quaternion number $q$, i.e.,

$$
\mathbb{H}=\left\{x_{0}+x_{1} i+x_{2} j+x_{3} k:\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}\right\} .
$$

Define

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}}=\left\{x_{0}+x_{1} i+x_{2} j+x_{3} k: x_{0} \geq 0, x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0, x_{3} \geq 0\right\} .
$$

It is apparent that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}} \subset \mathbb{H}$. Assume $\mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{H}}$ be the zero of $\mathbb{H}$ from now on. Note that $(\mathbb{H},||$.$) is a real Banach space.$

## Lemma

$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{H}}$ is a normal cone in real Banach space $(\mathbb{H},||$.$) .$

## Quaternion-valued metric space

## Lemma

Any quaternion valued metric space $\left(X, d_{\mathbb{H}}\right)$ is a cone metric space.

## Lemma

A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $\left(X, d_{\mathbb{H}}\right)$ be convergent in the context of quaternion valued metric space if and only if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be convergent in the setting of of cone metric space.
(1) E. Karapinar and W.-S. Du, A note on b-cone metric and its related results: Generalizations or equivalence? , Fixed Point Theory and Applications, (2013), 2013:210
(2) R. P. Agarwal, H. Alsulami, E.Karapinar and F.Khojasteh, Remarks on some recent fixed point results in quaternion-valued metric spaces, Abstract and Applied Analysis, (2014) Article Id: 171624
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## Multiplicative Metric ${ }^{3}$

Let $X$ be a nonempty set. An operator $d *: X \times X \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ is a multiplicative metric (MM for short) on $X$, if it satisfies:
$\left(m_{1} *\right) d *(x, y)=1 \geq 1 \forall x, y \in X$, and $d *(x, y)=1$ if and only if $x=y$, $\left(m_{2} *\right) d *(x, y)=d *(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$, $\left(m_{3} *\right) d *(x, z) \leq d *(x, y) d *(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, (multiplicative triangle inequality).
If the operator $\mathrm{d}^{*} \mathrm{~d}^{*}$ satisfies $\left(m_{1} *\right)-\left(m_{3} *\right)$ then the pair $(X, d *)$ is called a multiplicative metric space (MMS).

[^2]
## multiplicative metric...

$$
\ln (\max \{a, b\})=\max \{\ln a, \ln b\}
$$

for all $a, b>0 a, b>0$ as well as

$$
e^{\max \{a, b\}}=\max \left\{e^{a}, e^{b}\right\}
$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

## Theorem

$(X, d *)$ is an MMS if and only if $(X, \ln d *)$ is an $S-M S$, that is, $(X, d)$ is an S-MS if and only if $\left(X, e^{d}\right)$ is an MMS. a

[^3]
## Some publication on multiplicative metric space
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X.He, M. Song and D. Chen, Common fixed points for weak commutative mappings on a multiplicative metric space, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2014 (2014), Article ID 48.
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## multiplicative metric...
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## Coupled Fixed Points

In 1987, Guo and Lakshmikantham introduced the notion of the coupled fixed point.

## Definition

An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ if

$$
x=F(x, y) \text { and } y=F(y, x)
$$

The concept of coupled fixed point was reconsidered by Gnana-Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham in 2006. In this paper, they proved the existence and uniqueness of a coupled fixed point of an operator $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ on a partially ordered metric space under a condition called mixed monotone property.

## Definition

Let $(X, \preceq)$ be a partially ordered set and $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$. The mapping $F$ is said to have the mixed monotone property if $F(x, y)$ is monotone non-decreasing in $x$ and monotone non-increasing in $y$, that is, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$
x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, \quad x_{1} \preceq x_{2} \Rightarrow F\left(x_{1}, y\right) \preceq F\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
y_{1}, y_{2} \in X, \quad y_{1} \preceq y_{2} \Rightarrow F\left(x, y_{1}\right) \succeq F\left(x, y_{2}\right)
$$

## Gnana-Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham Theorem

Let $(X, \preceq, d)$ be a partially ordered complete metric space. Let $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. Suppose that the following conditions hold:
(i) $F$ has the mixed monotone property;
(ii) either $F$ is continuous or $X$ has the following properties:
$\left(X_{1}\right)$ if a nondecreasing sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ converges to a some point $x \in X$, then $x_{n} \preceq x$ for all $n$,
$\left(X_{2}\right)$ if a decreasing sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ converges to a some point $y \in X$, then $y_{n} \succeq y$ for all $n$;
(ii) there exist $x_{0}, y_{0} \in X$ such that $x_{0} \preceq F\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ and $y_{0} \succeq F\left(y_{0}, x_{0}\right)$;
(iv) there exists a constant $k \in(0,1)$ such that for all

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x, y),(u, v) \in X \times X \text { with } x \succeq u \text { and } y \preceq v, \\
& \\
& \quad d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{k}{2}[d(x, u)+d(y, v)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $F$ has a coupled fixed point $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \in X \times X$.

## Example to show the weakness of Gnana-Bhaskar and

 Lakshmikantham TheoremLet $X=\mathbb{R}$ and $d: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the Euclidean metric. Define a mapping $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ as

$$
F(x, y)=\frac{3 x-y}{5} \quad \text { for all } x, y \in X
$$

It is clear that $F$ is mixed monotone but we claim that it does not satisfy condition (iv). Indeed, assume that there exists $k$ with $0 \leq k<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq k \frac{d(x, u)+d(y, v)}{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x \geq u$ and $v \geq y$. Let us take $x \neq u, y=v$ in the previous inequality. Hence, $t=|x-u|>0$ and the inequality (6) turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3 t}{5}=\frac{3|x-u|}{5}=d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{|x-u|}{2}=k \frac{t}{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example

Recall that $0 \leq k<1$ for any $t>0$. Hence, the inequality (6) turns into

$$
\frac{3 t}{5} \leq k \frac{t}{2}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, Gnana-Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham Theorem is not applicable for the operator $F$ in order to prove that $(0,0)$ is the unique coupled fixed point of $F$.

## of Gnana-Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham Theorem

Let $(X, \preceq, d)$ be a partially ordered complete metric space. Let $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. Suppose that the following conditions hold:
(i) $F$ has the mixed monotone property;
(ii) either $F$ is continuous or $X$ has the following properties:
$\left(X_{1}\right)$ if a nondecreasing sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ converges to a some point $x \in X$, then $x_{n} \preceq x$ for all $n$,
$\left(X_{2}\right)$ if a decreasing sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ converges to a some point $y \in X$, then $y_{n} \succeq y$ for all $n$;
(ii) there exist $x_{0}, y_{0} \in X$ such that $x_{0} \preceq F\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ and $y_{0} \succeq F\left(y_{0}, x_{0}\right)$;
(iv) there exists a constant $k \in(0,1)$ such that for all

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x, y),(u, v) \in X \times X \text { with } x \succeq u \text { and } y \preceq v, \\
& \quad d(F(x, y), F(u, v))+d(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq k[d(x, u)+d(y, v)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $F$ has a coupled fixed point $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \in X \times X$.

## Remark

Moreover, if for all $(x, y),(u, v) \in X \times X$ there exists $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in X \times X$ such that $(x, y) \preceq_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ and $(u, v) \preceq_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, we have uniqueness of the coupled fixed point and $x^{*}=y^{*}$.

Let $(X, \preceq)$ be a partially ordered set endowed with a metric $d$ and $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. We endow the product set $X \times X$ with the partial order:

$$
(x, y),(u, v) \in X \times X,(x, y) \preceq_{2}(u, v) \Longleftrightarrow x \preceq u, y \succeq v .
$$

Let $Y=X \times X$. It is easy to show that the mappings $\eta, \delta: Y \times Y \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta((x, y),(u, v)) & =d(x, u)+d(y, v) \\
\delta((x, y),(u, v)) & =\max \{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $(x, y),(u, v) \in Y$, are metrics on $Y$. Now, define the mapping $T: Y \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
T(x, y)=(F(x, y), F(y, x)), \text { for all }(x, y) \in Y
$$

It is easy to show that

## Lemma

The following properties hold:
(a) if $(X, d)$ is complete, then $(Y, \eta)$ and $(Y, \delta)$ are complete;
(b) $F$ has the mixed monotone property if and only if $T$ is monotone nondecreasing with respect to $\preceq_{2}$;
(c) $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is a coupled fixed point of $F$ if and only if $(x, y)$ is a fixed point of $T$.

## Theorem

Gnana-Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham Theorem follows from Ran and Reurings Theorem and Nieto and López Theorem .

From (iv), for all $(x, y),(u, v) \in X \times X$ with $x \succeq u$ and $y \preceq v$, we have

$$
d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{k}{2}[d(x, u)+d(y, v)]
$$

and

$$
d(F(v, u), F(y, x)) \leq \frac{k}{2}[d(x, u)+d(y, v)]
$$

This implies that for all $(x, y),(u, v) \in X \times X$ with $x \succeq u$ and $y \preceq v$,

$$
d(F(x, y), F(u, v))+d(F(v, u), F(y, x)) \leq k[d(x, u)+d(y, v)]
$$

that is,

$$
\eta(T(x, y), T(u, v)) \leq k \eta((x, y),(u, v))
$$

for all $(x, y),(u, v) \in Y$ with $(x, y) \succeq_{2}(u, v)$. From Lemma, since $(X, d)$ is complete, $(Y, \eta)$ is also complete. Since $F$ has the mixed monotone property, $T$ is a nondecreasing mapping with respect to $\preceq_{2}$. From (iii), we have $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \preceq_{2} T\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$.

Now, if $F$ is continuous, then $T$ is continuous. In this case, applying RR-Theorem, we get that $T$ has a fixed point, which implies from Lemma that $F$ has a coupled fixed point. If conditions $\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}\right)$ are satisfied, then $Y$ satisfies the following property: if a nondecreasing (with respect to $\preceq_{2}$ ) sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $Y$ converges to a some point $u \in Y$, then $u_{n} \preceq_{2} u$ for all $n$. Applying NL-Theorem, we get that $T$ has a fixed point, which implies that $F$ has a coupled fixed point. If in addition, we suppose that for all $(x, y),(u, v) \in X \times X$ there exists $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in X \times X$ such that $(x, y) \preceq_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ and $(u, v) \preceq_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, from the last part of Theorems RR and NL, we obtain the uniqueness of the fixed point of $T$, which implies the uniqueness of the coupled fixed point of $F$. Now, let $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \in X \times X$ be the unique coupled fixed point of $F$. Since $\left(y^{*}, x^{*}\right)$ is also a coupled fixed point of $F$, we get $x^{*}=y^{*}$.
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## Definition

An ordered $\mathrm{MS}(X, d, \preccurlyeq)$ is said to have the sequential monotone property if it verifies:
(i) If $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ is a non-decreasing sequence and $\left\{x_{m}\right\} \xrightarrow{d} x$, then $x_{m} \preccurlyeq x$ for all $m$.
(ii) If $\left\{y_{m}\right\}$ is a non-increasing sequence and $\left\{y_{m}\right\} \xrightarrow{d} y$, then $y_{m} \succcurlyeq y$ for all $m$.

Henceforth, fix a partition $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}$ of $\Lambda_{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, that is, $A \cup B=\Lambda_{n}$ and $A \cap B=\varnothing$ such that $A$ and $B$ are non-empty sets. We will denote:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}}=\left\{\sigma: \Lambda_{n} \rightarrow \Lambda_{n}: \sigma(\mathrm{A}) \subseteq \mathrm{A} \text { and } \sigma(\mathrm{B}) \subseteq \mathrm{B}\right\} \quad \text { and } \\
& \Omega_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}}^{\prime}=\left\{\sigma: \Lambda_{n} \rightarrow \Lambda_{n}: \sigma(\mathrm{A}) \subseteq \mathrm{B} \text { and } \sigma(\mathrm{B}) \subseteq \mathrm{A}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ is a partially ordered space, $x, y \in X$ and $i \in \Lambda_{n}$, we will use the following notation:

$$
x \preccurlyeq_{i} y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases}x \preccurlyeq y, & \text { if } i \in \mathrm{~A}, \\ x \succcurlyeq y, & \text { if } i \in \mathrm{~B} .\end{cases}
$$

Consider on the product space $X^{n}$ the following partial order: for $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), Y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in X^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X} \sqsubseteq \mathrm{Y} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x_{i} \preccurlyeq_{i} y_{i}, \text { for all } i . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that two points X and Y are comparable if $\mathrm{X} \sqsubseteq \mathrm{Y}$ or $\mathrm{X} \sqsupseteq \mathrm{Y}$.

## Proposition

If $\mathrm{X} \sqsubseteq \mathrm{Y}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}\right) \sqsubseteq\left(y_{\sigma(1)}, y_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, y_{\sigma(n)}\right) & \text { if } \sigma \in \Omega_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}}, \\
\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}\right) \sqsupseteq\left(y_{\sigma(1)}, y_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, y_{\sigma(n)}\right) & \text { if } \sigma \in \Omega_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $F: X^{n} \rightarrow X$ be a mapping.

## Definition

Let $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ be a partially ordered space. We say that $F$ has the mixed monotone property (w.r.t. $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}$ ) if $F$ is monotone non-decreasing in arguments of A and monotone non-increasing in arguments of B, i.e., for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, y, z \in X$ and all $i$,
$y \preccurlyeq z \quad \Rightarrow \quad F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \preccurlyeq{ }_{i} F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, z, x_{i+1}, \ldots\right.$,
Henceforth, let $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}: \Lambda_{n} \rightarrow \Lambda_{n}$ be $n$ mappings from $\Lambda_{n}$ into itself and let $\Upsilon$ be the $n$-tuple $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)$. The main aim of this paper is to study the following class of multidimensional fixed points.

## Definition

(Roldan et al.) A point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X^{n}$ is called a $\Upsilon$-fixed point of the mapping $F$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x_{\sigma_{i}(1)}, x_{\sigma_{i}(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma_{i}(n)}\right)=x_{i} \quad \text { for all } i . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one represents a mapping $\sigma: \Lambda_{n} \rightarrow \Lambda_{n}$ throughout its ordered image, i.e., $\sigma=(\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \ldots, \sigma(n))$, then

- Gnana-Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham's coupled fixed points occur when $n=2, \sigma_{1}=\tau=(1,2)$ and $\sigma_{2}=(2,1)$;
- Berinde and Borcut's tripled fixed points are associated to $n=3$, $\sigma_{1}=\tau=(1,2,3), \sigma_{2}=(2,1,2)$ and $\sigma 2=(3,2,1)$;
- Berzig and Samet's multidimensional fixed points are given when $A=\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ and $B=\{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n\}$.

For more details see Roldan et al. JMAA 2012.

## Lemma

Let $(X, d)$ be a MS and define $D_{n}, \Delta_{n}: X^{n} \times X^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, for all $A=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), B=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in X^{n}$, by

$$
D_{n}(A, B)=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} d\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta_{n}(A, B)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)
$$

Then $D_{n}$ and $\Delta_{n}$ are complete metrics on $X^{n}$.
Actually, both metrics are equivalent since $D_{n} \leq \Delta_{n} \leq n D_{n}$.

## Theorem

Let $(X, d, \preccurlyeq)$ be a partially ordered $M S$ and let $F: X^{n} \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Let $\Upsilon=\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)$ be a n-tuple of mappings from $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ into itself verifying $\sigma_{i} \in \Omega_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}$ if $i \in \mathrm{~A}$ and $\sigma_{i} \in \Omega_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}^{\prime}$ if $i \in \mathrm{~B}$. Define $F_{\Upsilon}: X^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}$, for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X$, by
$F_{\Upsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(F\left(x_{\sigma_{1}(1)}, x_{\sigma_{1}(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma_{n}(n)}\right), F\left(x_{\sigma_{2}(1)}, x_{\sigma_{2}(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma_{2}(n)}\right)\right.$, $\left.\ldots, F\left(x_{\sigma_{n}(1)}, x_{\sigma_{n}(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma_{n}(n)}\right)\right)$.
(1) If $F$ has the mixed monotone property, then $F_{\Upsilon}$ is monotone nondecreasing w.r.t. the partial order $\sqsubseteq$ on $X^{n}$ given by (8).
(2) If $F$ is continuous (w.r.t. $D_{n}$ or $\Delta_{n}$ ), then $F_{\Upsilon}$ is also continuous (w.r.t. $D_{n}$ or $\Delta_{n}$ ).
(3) A point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X^{n}$ is a $\Upsilon$-fixed point of $F$ if, and only if, $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a fixed point of $F_{\Upsilon}$.

Throughout this section, let $(X, d, \preccurlyeq)$ be an ordered MS, let $\Upsilon=\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)$ be a $n$-tuple of mappings from $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ into itself such that $\sigma_{i} \in \Omega_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}$ if $i \in \mathrm{~A}$ and $\sigma_{i} \in \Omega_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}^{\prime}$ if $i \in \mathrm{~B}$, and let $F: X^{n} \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Consider the following conditions:
(I) $(X, d)$ is complete.
(II) $F$ has the mixed monotone property.
(III) $F$ is continuous or $(X, d, \preccurlyeq)$ has the sequential monotone property.
(IV) There exist $x_{0}^{1}, x_{0}^{2}, \ldots, x_{0}^{n} \in X$ verifying

$$
x_{0}^{i} \preccurlyeq i F\left(x_{0}^{\sigma_{i}(1)}, x_{0}^{\sigma_{i}(2)}, \ldots, x_{0}^{\sigma_{i}(n)}\right) \quad \text { for all } i .
$$

In some cases, we will also use an additional hypothesis.
(V) For all $i$, the mapping $\sigma_{i}$ is a permutation of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

This last condition implies that, for all $i$ and all $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y} \in X^{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{1 \leq j \leq n} d\left(x_{\sigma_{i}(j)}, y_{\sigma_{i}(j)}\right)=\max _{1 \leq j \leq n} d\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)=D_{n}(X, Y) \quad \text { and } \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} d\left(x_{\sigma_{i}(j)}, y_{\sigma_{i}(j)}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} d\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)=\Delta_{n}(X, Y) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

## Roldán, Martínez and Roldán's multidimensional fixed point results.

In 2012, Roldán et al. proved the following theorem in order to show sufficient conditions to ensure the existence of $\Phi$-coincidence points (we particularize it in the case of $\Upsilon$-coincidence points taking $\tau$ as the identity mapping on $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $g$ as the identity mapping on $X$ ).

## Theorem (Roldán et al. )

Under hypothesis (I)-(IV), assume that there exists $k \in[0,1)$ verifying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), F\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right) \leq k \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} d\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which $x_{i} \preccurlyeq_{i} y_{i}$ for all $i$. Then $F$ has, at least, one $\Upsilon$-fixed point.
We shall prove the following result.

## Theorem

Theorem 45 follows from Theorems Ran-Reuring and Nieto \&

## Uniqueness of the fixed point also follows from Theorems ?? and ??.

## Theorem

Under the hypothesis of Theorem 45, assume that for all $A, B \in X^{n}$ there exists $U \in X^{n}$ such that $A \sqsubseteq U$ and $B \sqsubseteq U$. Then $F$ has a unique $\Upsilon$-fixed point.

In order to assure the uniqueness of the fixed point, notice that the previous result shows a sufficient condition which is only related to the partial order $\preccurlyeq$ on $X$ (and its extension $\sqsubseteq$ to $X^{n}$ ). It is not difficult to prove that a similar property of uniqueness may be included in the results we will present throughout this paper. However, for brevity, we will not write this part.

## Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham's coupled fixed point results

We present the following multidimensional extension of the main result of Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham 2006NA using a similar argument of which we have showed in the previous subsection.

## Corollary

Under hypothesis (I)-(IV), assume that there exists $k \in[0,1)$ verifying:

$$
d\left(F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), F\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right) \leq \frac{k}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)
$$

for which $x_{i} \preccurlyeq i y_{i}$ for all $i$. Then $F$ has, at least, one $\Upsilon$-fixed point.

## Berinde's coupled fixed point results

We extend [Theorem 3, Berinde NA 2011] in the following sense.

## Theorem

Under hypothesis (I)-(IV), assume that there exists $k \in[0,1)$ verifying:
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d\left(F\left(x_{\sigma_{i}(1)}, x_{\sigma_{i}(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma_{i}(n)}\right), F\left(y_{\sigma_{i}(1)}, y_{\sigma_{i}(2)}, \ldots, y_{\sigma_{i}(n)}\right)\right) \leq k \sum_{j=1}^{n} d\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)$
for which $x_{i} \preccurlyeq_{i} y_{i}$ for all $i$. Then $F$ has, at least, one $\Upsilon$-fixed point.
Notice that this result implies that [Theorem 3, Berinde NA 2011] follows from Theorems Ran \& Reuring and Nieto \& Rodriguerz-Lopez.

## Berzig and Samet's multidimensional fixed point results

 and Berinde and Borcut's tripled fixed point resultsBerzig and Samet 2012CAMWA extended the main result of Berinde and Borcut ( 2011 NA) in the setting of multidimensional mappings.
Both results are special cases of the following theorem, which was also established in [Corollary 16, Roldan et al. JMAA 2012].

## Theorem

Under hypothesis (I)-(IV), assume that there exists $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in[0,1)$ such that $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}<1$ verifying:

$$
d\left(F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), F\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} d\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)
$$

for which $x_{i} \preccurlyeq i y_{i}$ for all $i$. Then $F$ has, at least, one $\Upsilon$-fixed point.

## Ćirić, Cakić, Rajović and Ume's multidimensional fixed point results

The following theorem is a multidimensional version of Theorem 2.2 in Ćirić et al.

## Theorem

Under hypothesis (I)-(IV), assume that there exists $\phi \in \Phi_{3}$ verifying:

$$
d\left(F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), F\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right) \leq \phi\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} d\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)
$$

for which $x_{i} \preccurlyeq_{i} y_{i}$ for all $i$. Then $F$ has, at least, one $\Upsilon$-fixed point.

## Corollary

Under hypothesis (I)-(IV), assume that there exists $\phi \in \Phi_{3}$ verifying:

$$
d\left(F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), F\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right) \leq \phi\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)
$$

for which $x_{i} \preccurlyeq_{i} y_{i}$ for all $i$. Then $F$ has, at least, one $\Upsilon$-fixed point.

## Corollary

Under hypothesis (I)-(IV), assume that there exists $\phi \in \Phi_{3}$ verifying:

$$
d\left(F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), F\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)
$$

for which $x_{i} \preccurlyeq_{i} y_{i}$ for all $i$. Then $F$ has, at least, one $\Upsilon_{\text {-fixed point. }}^{\text {- }}$

## Lakshmikantham and Ciric's coupled fixed point results

We shall prove the following result as a generalization of the main result of Lakshmikantham and Ćirić 2009NA.

## Theorem

Under hypothesis (I)-(V), assume that there exists $\psi \in \Phi_{2}$ verifying:

$$
d\left(F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), F\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} d\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)\right)
$$

for which $x_{i} \preccurlyeq_{i} y_{i}$ for all $i$. Then $F$ has, at least, one $\Upsilon$-fixed point.

## Harjani, López and Sadarangani's coupled fixed point results

We shall prove the following result which is an extension of the main result of Harjani et al.2011NA.

## Theorem

Under hypothesis (I)-(V), assume that there exist $\psi, \varphi \in \Phi_{1}$ verifying:
$\psi\left(d\left(F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), F\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} d\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} d(\right.$
for which $x_{i} \preccurlyeq_{i} y_{i}$ for all $i$. Then $F$ has, at least, one $\Upsilon$-fixed point.

## Structure

## (1) Introduction

(2) Banach Fixed Point Theorem
(3) Motivation and Directions
(4) Further generalization of metric notion
(5) Remarks on Coupled Fixed Point Theorems
(6) On Multidimensional Fixed Point Theory
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