

To the Spectral Theory of Hausdorff operators

A. R. Mirotin

Francisk Skorina Gomel State University

21/July/ 2022

International biweekly online seminar on analysis, differential equations and mathematical physics of RMC of the SFU

The aims of the talk

The aims of the talk are

- To describe the spectra of generalized Hausdorff operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$;

The aims of the talk

The aims of the talk are

- To describe the spectra of generalized Hausdorff operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$;
- To consider some generalizations to \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}_+^n .

The aims of the talk

The aims of the talk are

- To describe the spectra of generalized Hausdorff operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$;
- To consider some generalizations to \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}_+^n .

This part of the talk is based on the following papers:

[1] Mirotin A. R., On the description of normal Hausdorff operators on Lebesgue spaces, *Funk. Anal. Appl.*, **53** (2019), no. 4, 261–269
arXiv:1902.07671v2.

[2] ———, The structure of normal Hausdorff operators on Lebesgue spaces, *Forum Math.*, **32** (2020), no 1, pp. 111–119,
arXiv:1812.02680v2.

- The last part of the talk will be devoted to the problem of compactness of Hausdorff operators.

It is based on the paper

[3] ———, A Hausdorff Operator with Commuting Family of Perturbation Matrices Is a Non-Riesz Operator, *Rus. J. Math. Phys.*, **27** (2020), no 4, pp. 484–490.

Classical definition of \mathcal{H}

Firstly Hausdorff operators in the form

$$(\mathcal{H}f)(x) = \int_{[0,1]} f(ux) d\mu(u),$$

(μ is some finite regular Borel measure on $[0, 1]$)

were introduced by **Garabedian** and **Rogosinskii** as a continuous variable analog of the regular Hausdorff transformations for sequences and series

(see also G. H. Hardy's "Divergent Series").

Classical definition of \mathcal{H}

Firstly Hausdorff operators in the form

$$(\mathcal{H}f)(x) = \int_{[0,1]} f(ux) d\mu(u),$$

(μ is some finite regular Borel measure on $[0, 1]$)

were introduced by **Garabedian** and **Rogosinskii** as a continuous variable analog of the regular Hausdorff transformations for sequences and series

(see also G. H. Hardy's "Divergent Series").

For a probability μ this is a sort of an average of f .

General definition of \mathcal{H} on \mathbb{R}

In the first part of the talk we shall consider **Hausdorff operator** of the form

Definition of \mathcal{H} in \mathbb{R} .

$$\mathcal{H}_{K,a}f(x) = \int_{\Omega} K(u)f(a(u)x)d\mu(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, μ is some regular Borel measure on Ω

$K \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega, \mu)$ ("a kernel"), $a : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is some measurable function ("a dilation family").

General definition of \mathcal{H} on \mathbb{R}

In the first part of the talk we shall consider **Hausdorff operator** of the form

Definition of \mathcal{H} in \mathbb{R} .

$$\mathcal{H}_{K,a}f(x) = \int_{\Omega} K(u)f(a(u)x)d\mu(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, μ is some regular Borel measure on Ω
 $K \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega, \mu)$ ("a kernel"), $a : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is some measurable function ("a dilation family").

Taking $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}$, μ a counting measure we get **discrete Hausdorff operator**

$$f \mapsto \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} K(u)f(a(u)x).$$

1)

$$\mathcal{C}_1 f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt - \text{the continuous Cesàro operator};$$

Classical examples

1)

$$\mathcal{C}_1 f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt - \text{the continuous Cesàro operator};$$

2)

$$\mathcal{C}^* f(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{f(t)}{t} dt - \text{the harmonic Cesàro operator};$$

Classical examples

1)

$$\mathcal{C}_1 f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt - \text{the continuous Cesàro operator};$$

2)

$$\mathcal{C}^* f(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{f(t)}{t} dt - \text{the harmonic Cesàro operator};$$

3)

$$(H_\gamma f)(x) = \frac{\gamma \operatorname{sgn}(x)}{|x|^\gamma} \int_0^x |t|^{\gamma-1} f(t) dt \quad (\gamma > 0) - \text{the Hardy operator};$$

4)

$$(\mathcal{K}f)(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt + \int_x^\infty \frac{f(t)}{t} dt - \text{the Calderon operator};$$

Classical examples 2

4)

$$(\mathcal{K}f)(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt + \int_x^\infty \frac{f(t)}{t} dt - \text{the Calderon operator};$$

5) Let $\alpha > 0$.

$$(C_\alpha f)(x) = \alpha x^{-\alpha} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt - \text{the Cesàro mean of order } \alpha;$$

$$(I^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{x^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} (C_\alpha f)(x) - \text{the Riemann-Liouville operator};$$

6)

$$(H_1^* f)(x) = \begin{cases} \int_x^\infty \frac{f(t)}{t} dt, & x > 0 \\ -\int_{-\infty}^x \frac{f(t)}{t} dt, & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{-- the Copson operator;}$$

Examples 3

6)

$$(H_1^* f)(x) = \begin{cases} \int_x^\infty \frac{f(t)}{t} dt, & x > 0 \\ - \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{f(t)}{t} dt, & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{-- the Copson operator;}$$

7)

$$(C_q f)(x) := \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) d_q t := (1 - q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k f(q^k x)$$

– the q -calculus version of a Cesàro operator.

Here $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and q is real, $0 < |q| < 1$. This is a discrete Hausdorff operator.

Some history

For several special Hausdorff operators (mainly for discrete and continuous Cesàro operators) in various spaces some spectra were calculated by **P.R. Halmos**, **A. Brown**, and **A. L. Shields**; **D. W. Boyd**; **G. M. Leibowitz**; **B. E. Rhoades**; **A. A. Albanese**, **J. Bonet**, and **W. J. Rickert** and others.

Some history

For several special Hausdorff operators (mainly for discrete and continuous Cesàro operators) in various spaces some spectra were calculated by **P.R. Halmosh, A. Brown, and A. L. Shields; D. W. Boyd; G. M. Leibowitz; B. E. Rhoades; A. A. Albanese, J. Bonet, and W. J. Rickert** and others.

The modern development of the theory of Hausdorff operators begins with the work of **Liflyand and Móricz** (2001) where the boundedness of a some class of Hausdorff operators on the Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ were considered.

The boundedness condition

Lemma 1. $|a(u)|^{-1/p}K(u) \in L^1(\mu) \implies \mathcal{H}_{K,a} \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}))$
($p \in [1, \infty]$),

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{K,a}\| \leq \int_{\Omega} |K(u)| |a(u)|^{-1/p} d\mu(u).$$

This estimate is sharp: in the case where $K(u) > 0$ this condition is necessary and we get an equality for the norm.

In the first part of the talk *We shall assume that the condition of Lemma 1 holds.*

Scalar symbols for $p \geq 1$

To calculate the spectra, we must introduce two functions. Let

$$\Omega_{\pm} := \{u \in \Omega : a(u) \gtrless 0\},$$

Scalar symbols

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(s) &:= \int_{\Omega_+} K(u)|a(u)|^{-1/p-is} d\mu(u) + \int_{\Omega_-} K(u)|a(u)|^{-1/p-is} d\mu(u) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} K(u)|a(u)|^{-1/p-is} d\mu(u),\end{aligned}$$

$$\varphi^*(s) := \int_{\Omega_+} K(u)|a(u)|^{-1/p-is} d\mu(u) - \int_{\Omega_-} K(u)|a(u)|^{-1/p-is} d\mu(u).$$

Spectra of $\mathcal{H}_{K,a}$

Theorem 1. Let $|a(u)|^{-1/2}K(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then we have for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

(i) $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \text{Cl}(\varphi(\mathbb{R}) \cup \varphi^*(\mathbb{R}))$;

Spectra of $\mathcal{H}_{K,a}$

Theorem 1. Let $|a(u)|^{-1/2}K(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then we have for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

(i) $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \text{Cl}(\varphi(\mathbb{R}) \cup \varphi^*(\mathbb{R}))$;

(ii) $\sigma_p(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{mes}(\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\}) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1}(\{\lambda\})) > 0\}$.

Spectra of $\mathcal{H}_{K,a}$

Theorem 1. Let $|a(u)|^{-1/2}K(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then we have for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

- (i) $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \text{Cl}(\varphi(\mathbb{R}) \cup \varphi^*(\mathbb{R}))$;
- (ii) $\sigma_p(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{mes}(\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\}) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1}(\{\lambda\})) > 0\}$.
- (iii) $\sigma_r(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \emptyset$.

Several corollaries

Corollary 1.

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,a}\| = \max(\sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi|, \sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi^*|).$$

Several corollaries

Corollary 1.

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,a}\| = \max(\sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi|, \sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi^*|).$$

Corollary 2.

If, in addition, $a(u) > 0$ then

(i) $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \text{Cl}(\varphi(\mathbb{R}))$;

Several corollaries

Corollary 1.

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,a}\| = \max(\sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi|, \sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi^*|).$$

Corollary 2.

If, in addition, $a(u) > 0$ then

- (i) $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \text{Cl}(\varphi(\mathbb{R}))$;
- (ii) $\sigma_p(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{mes}(\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\})) > 0\}$;

Several corollaries

Corollary 1.

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,a}\| = \max(\sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi|, \sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi^*|).$$

Corollary 2.

If, in addition, $a(u) > 0$ then

- (i) $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \text{Cl}(\varphi(\mathbb{R}))$;
- (ii) $\sigma_p(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{mes}(\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\})) > 0\}$;
- (iii) $\|\mathcal{H}_{K,a}\| = \sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi|$

Several corollaries

Corollary 1.

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,a}\| = \max(\sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi|, \sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi^*|).$$

Corollary 2.

If, in addition, $a(u) > 0$ then

(i) $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \text{Cl}(\varphi(\mathbb{R}))$;

(ii) $\sigma_p(\mathcal{H}_{K,a}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{mes}(\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\})) > 0\}$;

(iii) $\|\mathcal{H}_{K,a}\| = \sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi|$

$= [\text{ for } K(u) > 0] = \int_{\Omega} K(u)a(u)^{-1/2}d\mu(u).$

Definition. Let (Ω, μ) be topological space endowed with a positive regular Borel measure μ , $K \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mu)$, and $(A(u))_{u \in \Omega} \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ a μ -measurable family. The *Hausdorff operator* with the kernel K is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{K,A}f(x) := \int_{\Omega} K(u)f(A(u)x)d\mu(u).$$

Definition. Let (Ω, μ) be topological space endowed with a positive regular Borel measure μ , $K \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mu)$, and $(A(u))_{u \in \Omega} \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ a μ -measurable family. The *Hausdorff operator* with the kernel K is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{K,A}f(x) := \int_{\Omega} K(u)f(A(u)x)d\mu(u).$$

The n -dimensional "classical definition" ($\mu = \text{mes}$ in \mathbb{R}^N) is due to **Liflyand-Lerner** and **Brown-Moricz** (2002).

To our knowledge, all known results on Hausdorff operators refer to the boundedness of such operators in various settings only.

Lemma 2 $|\det A(u)|^{-1/p}K(u) \in L^1(\mu) \implies \mathcal{H}_{K,A} \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$
($p \in [1, \infty)$),

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{K,A}\| \leq \int_{\Omega} |K(u)| |\det A(u)|^{-1/p} d\mu(u).$$

This estimate is sharp.

We shall assume that the condition of Lemma 2 holds.

The matrix symbol in L^p

Let V_j , $j = 1, \dots, 2^n$ be some fixed enumeration of the family of all hyperoctants in \mathbb{R}^n , and $\sigma(A(u)) = \{\lambda(u)_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$.

The matrix symbol in L^p

Let V_j , $j = 1, \dots, 2^n$ be some fixed enumeration of the family of all hyperoctants in \mathbb{R}^n , and $\sigma(A(u)) = \{\lambda(u)_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$.

$$\varphi_{ij}(s) := \int_{\{u | A(u): V_j \rightarrow V_i\}} K(u) |\lambda(u)|^{-1/p - is} d\mu(u) \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

(multi-index notation).

The matrix symbol in L^p

Let V_j , $j = 1, \dots, 2^n$ be some fixed enumeration of the family of all hyperoctants in \mathbb{R}^n , and $\sigma(A(u)) = \{\lambda(u)_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$.

$$\varphi_{ij}(s) := \int_{\{u|A(u):V_j \rightarrow V_i\}} K(u)|\lambda(u)|^{-1/p-2s} d\mu(u) \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

(multi-index notation).

Definition

Define the *matrix symbol* of a Hausdorff operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,A}$ by

$$\Phi = (\varphi_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{2^n}$$

$\Phi \in \text{Mat}_{2^n}(C^b(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Spectra of normal $\mathcal{H}_{K,A}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Theorem 2

Let $A(u)$ be commuting and self-adjoint. Then in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

(i) $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,A}) = \sigma(\Phi)$ [the spectrum of Φ in $\text{Mat}_{2^n}(C^b(\mathbb{R}^n))$]

$$= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\det(\lambda - \Phi(s))| = 0\}.$$

Theorem 2

Let $A(u)$ be commuting and self-adjoint. Then in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

(i) $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,A}) = \sigma(\Phi)$ [the spectrum of Φ in $\text{Mat}_{2n}(C^b(\mathbb{R}^n))$]

$$= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\det(\lambda - \Phi(s))| = 0\}.$$

(ii) Let $E(\lambda) := \{s \in \mathbb{R}^n : \det(\lambda - \Phi(s)) = 0\}$. Then

$$\sigma_p(\mathcal{H}_{K,A}) = \{\lambda : \text{mes}(E(\lambda)) > 0\}.$$

Theorem 2

Let $A(u)$ be commuting and self-adjoint. Then in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

(i) $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,A}) = \sigma(\Phi)$ [the spectrum of Φ in $\text{Mat}_{2n}(C^b(\mathbb{R}^n))$]

$$= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\det(\lambda - \Phi(s))| = 0\}.$$

(ii) Let $E(\lambda) := \{s \in \mathbb{R}^n : \det(\lambda - \Phi(s)) = 0\}$. Then

$$\sigma_p(\mathcal{H}_{K,A}) = \{\lambda : \text{mes}(E(\lambda)) > 0\}.$$

(iii) $\sigma_r(\mathcal{H}_{K,A}) = \emptyset$.

Corollary 1.

$$\exists \mathcal{H}_{K,A}^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\det \Phi(s)| > 0.$$

Corollaries. Inverse and the norm.

Corollary 1.

$$\exists \mathcal{H}_{K,A}^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\det \Phi(s)| > 0.$$

Corollary 2.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{K,A}\| &= \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\Phi(s)\|_{op} \\ &= \max\{|\lambda| : \inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\det(\lambda - \Phi(s))| = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollaries. Positive definiteness

Let $A(u) \geq 0$.

Definition

$$\varphi(s) := \int_{\Omega} K(u) |\lambda(u)|^{-1/2 - \imath s} d\mu(u) \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

(the *scalar symbol*).

Corollary 3

$$A(u) \geq 0 \implies \sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,A}) = \text{cl}(\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Corollaries. Positive definiteness

Let $A(u) \geq O$.

Definition

$$\varphi(s) := \int_{\Omega} K(u) |\lambda(u)|^{-1/2 - \imath s} d\mu(u) \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

(the *scalar symbol*).

Corollary 3

$$A(u) \geq O \implies \sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,A}) = \text{cl}(\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Corollary 4

$$A(u) \geq O \implies \|\mathcal{H}_{K,A}\| = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi|.$$

Corollaries. Positive definiteness

Let $A(u) \geq 0$.

Definition

$$\varphi(s) := \int_{\Omega} K(u) |\lambda(u)|^{-1/2 - is} d\mu(u) \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

(the *scalar symbol*).

Corollary 3

$$A(u) \geq 0 \implies \sigma(\mathcal{H}_{K,A}) = \text{cl}(\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Corollary 4

$$A(u) \geq 0 \implies \|\mathcal{H}_{K,A}\| = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi|.$$

Problem: Generalizations to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$)?

Problem: Can $\mathcal{H}_{K,A} \neq 0$ be a compact operator? (E. Liflyand, 2007).

Problem: Can $\mathcal{H}_{K,A} \neq 0$ be a compact operator? (E. Lifyand, 2007).

Definition (Ruston, 1954).

A bounded operator T on some Banach space X is called a *Riesz operator* if it is non-invertible and its nonzero spectrum consists of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity with no limit points other than 0.

Thus, a Riesz operator possesses spectral properties like those of a compact operator.

Problem: Can $\mathcal{H}_{K,A} \neq 0$ be a compact operator? (E. Lifyand, 2007).

Definition (Ruston, 1954).

A bounded operator T on some Banach space X is called a *Riesz operator* if it is non-invertible and its nonzero spectrum consists of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity with no limit points other than 0.

Thus, a Riesz operator possesses spectral properties like those of a compact operator.

T is a Riesz operator in $X \iff T - \lambda I \in \text{Fred}(X) \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq 0$.

Theorem 3.

Let $A(u) \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a commuting family of self-adjoint matrices, and $(\det A(u))^{-1/p} K(u) \in L^1(\mu)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). Then a Hausdorff operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,A} \neq O$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is non-Riesz.

Non Riesz in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Theorem 3.

Let $A(u) \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a commuting family of self-adjoint matrices, and $(\det A(u))^{-1/p} K(u) \in L^1(\mu)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). Then a Hausdorff operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,A} \neq O$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is non-Riesz.

Corollary 1.

$\mathcal{H}_{K,A} \neq O$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not a sum of a quasinilpotent and compact operator.

Non Riesz in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Theorem 3.

Let $A(u) \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a commuting family of self-adjoint matrices, and $(\det A(u))^{-1/p} K(u) \in L^1(\mu)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). Then a Hausdorff operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,A} \neq O$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is non-Riesz.

Corollary 1.

$\mathcal{H}_{K,A} \neq O$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not a sum of a quasinilpotent and compact operator.

Corollary 2.

Any Hausdorff operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,a} \neq O$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ is non-Riesz (and so it is not a sum of a quasinilpotent and compact operator).

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!