

ON THE BISHOP-PHELPS PROPERTY

Francisco Javier Garcia-Pacheco

Department of Mathematics

College of Engineering

University of Cadiz



Seminar on Analysis, Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics

Regional Mathematical Center
of Southern Federal University



CONTENTS

- 1 ON THE BISHOP-PHELPS PROPERTY
 - Krein-Milman properties
 - Bishop-Phelps property
 - Inf-attaining functionals
 - Supporting vectors

CONTENTS

- 1 ON THE BISHOP-PHELPS PROPERTY
 - Krein-Milman properties
 - Bishop-Phelps property
 - Inf-attaining functionals
 - Supporting vectors

CONTENTS

- 1 ON THE BISHOP-PHELPS PROPERTY
 - Krein-Milman properties
 - Bishop-Phelps property
 - Inf-attaining functionals
 - Supporting vectors

KREIN-MILMAN PROPERTIES

KREIN-MILMAN PROPERTY

A real topological vector space enjoys the Krein-Milman property provided that every bounded, closed, convex subset has an extreme point.

STRONG KREIN-MILMAN PROPERTY

A real topological vector space enjoys the strong Krein-Milman property provided that every bounded, closed, convex subset is the closed convex hull of its extreme points.

KREIN-MILMAN PROPERTIES

KREIN-MILMAN PROPERTY

A real topological vector space enjoys the Krein-Milman property provided that every bounded, closed, convex subset has an extreme point.

STRONG KREIN-MILMAN PROPERTY

A real topological vector space enjoys the strong Krein-Milman property provided that every bounded, closed, convex subset is the closed convex hull of its extreme points.

CONTENTS

1 ON THE BISHOP-PHELPS PROPERTY

- Krein-Milman properties
- Bishop-Phelps property
- Inf-attaining functionals
- Supporting vectors

CONVERGENCE LINEAR TOPOLOGIES

 $\sigma(F, \mathcal{G})$

Let M be a topological module over a topological ring R and I a nonempty set. Take a submodule F of M^I and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(I)$ upward directed so that $f(\mathcal{G})$ is bounded in M for all $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}$ and all $f \in F$. For every $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}$ and every 0-neighborhood $U \subseteq M$, the sets

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, U) := \{f \in F : f(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq U\}$$

form a base of 0-neighbourhoods for a module topology on F called the convergence linear topology of F generated by \mathcal{G} .

EXAMPLES OF CONVERGENCE LINEAR TOPOLOGIES

REMARK

If R is a practical topological ring, in other words, $0 \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{U}(R))$, then every finite subset of M is bounded.

EXAMPLE

If R is practical and \mathcal{G} is the set of finite subsets of I , then $\sigma(F, \mathcal{G})$ is the pointwise convergence topology on F or, equivalently, the inherited product topology on F from M^I .

EXAMPLES OF CONVERGENCE LINEAR TOPOLOGIES

REMARK

If R is a practical topological ring, in other words, $0 \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{U}(R))$, then every finite subset of M is bounded.

EXAMPLE

If R is practical and \mathcal{G} is the set of finite subsets of I , then $\sigma(F, \mathcal{G})$ is the pointwise convergence topology on F or, equivalently, the inherited product topology on F from M^I .

EXAMPLES OF CONVERGENCE LINEAR TOPOLOGIES

REMARK

The topological dual module of M , M^ , is a right R -module. Thus, it can be endowed with a convergence linear topology since $M^* \subseteq R^M$.*

EXAMPLE

If R is practical, then the finite subsets of M define a convergence linear topology on M^* which is the pointwise convergence topology on M^* , also known as the w^* -topology.

EXAMPLE

If we take the family \mathcal{B}_M of all bounded subsets of M , then we obtain a stronger convergence linear topology on M^* called the \mathcal{B}_M -topology.

EXAMPLES OF CONVERGENCE LINEAR TOPOLOGIES

REMARK

The topological dual module of M , M^ , is a right R -module. Thus, it can be endowed with a convergence linear topology since $M^* \subseteq R^M$.*

EXAMPLE

If R is practical, then the finite subsets of M define a convergence linear topology on M^* which is the pointwise convergence topology on M^* , also known as the w^* -topology.

EXAMPLE

If we take the family \mathcal{B}_M of all bounded subsets of M , then we obtain a stronger convergence linear topology on M^* called the \mathcal{B}_M -topology.

EXAMPLES OF CONVERGENCE LINEAR TOPOLOGIES

REMARK

The topological dual module of M , M^ , is a right R -module. Thus, it can be endowed with a convergence linear topology since $M^* \subseteq R^M$.*

EXAMPLE

If R is practical, then the finite subsets of M define a convergence linear topology on M^* which is the pointwise convergence topology on M^* , also known as the w^* -topology.

EXAMPLE

If we take the family \mathcal{B}_M of all bounded subsets of M , then we obtain a stronger convergence linear topology on M^* called the \mathcal{B}_M -topology.

CONVERGENCE LINEAR TOPOLOGIES

NOTATION

Let X be a real or complex topological vector space. Then:

- \mathcal{B}_X is the family of bounded subsets of X .
- \mathcal{BCC}_X is the family of bounded, closed, convex subsets of X .

LEMMA

If X is a Hausdorff locally convex real or complex topological vector space, then $\overline{\text{co}}(A)$ is bounded for every bounded subset A of X .

Therefore, $\sigma(X^*, \mathcal{B}_X) = \sigma(X^*, \mathcal{BCC}_X)$.

CONVERGENCE LINEAR TOPOLOGIES

NOTATION

Let X be a real or complex topological vector space. Then:

- \mathcal{B}_X is the family of bounded subsets of X .
- \mathcal{BCC}_X is the family of bounded, closed, convex subsets of X .

LEMMA

If X is a Hausdorff locally convex real or complex topological vector space, then $\overline{\text{co}}(A)$ is bounded for every bounded subset A of X .

Therefore, $\sigma(X^*, \mathcal{B}_X) = \sigma(X^*, \mathcal{BCC}_X)$.

BISHOP-PHELPS PROPERTY

NOTATION

Let X be a real topological vector space and C a nonempty subset of X . The set of functionals attaining their sup on C is denoted by $SA(C) := \{f \in X^* : \sup f(C) \text{ is attained on } C\}$.

BISHOP-PHELPS PROPERTY

A real topological vector space X is said to have the Bishop-Phelps property if for every bounded, closed, convex subset B of X , the set $SA(B)$ is dense in X^* for the $\sigma(X^*, \mathcal{BCC}_X)$ -topology.

BISHOP-PHELPS PROPERTY

NOTATION

Let X be a real topological vector space and C a nonempty subset of X . The set of functionals attaining their sup on C is denoted by $SA(C) := \{f \in X^* : \sup f(C) \text{ is attained on } C\}$.

BISHOP-PHELPS PROPERTY

A real topological vector space X is said to have the Bishop-Phelps property if for every bounded, closed, convex subset B of X , the set $SA(B)$ is dense in X^* for the $\sigma(X^*, \mathcal{BCC}_X)$ -topology.

EQUIVALENCE OF KREIN-MILMAN PROPERTIES

THEOREM

Let X be a real topological vector space. Let Y be a closed complemented subspace of X . If X has the Bishop-Phelps property, then so does Y .

THEOREM

Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex real topological vector space satisfying the Bishop-Phelps property. If $\text{ext}(A) \neq \emptyset$ for all bounded, closed, convex subset A of X , then $A = \overline{\text{co}}(\text{ext}(A))$ for all bounded, closed, convex subset A of X .

EQUIVALENCE OF KREIN-MILMAN PROPERTIES

THEOREM

Let X be a real topological vector space. Let Y be a closed complemented subspace of X . If X has the Bishop-Phelps property, then so does Y .

THEOREM

Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex real topological vector space satisfying the Bishop-Phelps property. If $\text{ext}(\mathbf{A}) \neq \emptyset$ for all bounded, closed, convex subset \mathbf{A} of X , then $\mathbf{A} = \overline{\text{co}}(\text{ext}(\mathbf{A}))$ for all bounded, closed, convex subset \mathbf{A} of X .

EXAMPLES

REMARK

Every real Banach space satisfies the Bishop-Phelps property in view of the famous Bishop-Phelps Theorem.

THEOREM

Let X be a real vector space. If X is endowed with the finest locally convex vector topology, then X enjoys the Bishop-Phelps property.

EXAMPLE

There exists a real normed space X which is not subreflexive, therefore, $\text{SA}(B_X)$ is not dense in the norm-topology of X^* , which is precisely the $\sigma(X^*, \mathcal{BCC}_X)$ -topology of X^* . As a consequence, X does not satisfy the Bishop-Phelps property.

EXAMPLES

REMARK

Every real Banach space satisfies the Bishop-Phelps property in view of the famous Bishop-Phelps Theorem.

THEOREM

Let X be a real vector space. If X is endowed with the finest locally convex vector topology, then X enjoys the Bishop-Phelps property.

EXAMPLE

There exists a real normed space X which is not subreflexive, therefore, $SA(B_X)$ is not dense in the norm-topology of X^* , which is precisely the $\sigma(X^*, \mathcal{BCC}_X)$ -topology of X^* . As a consequence, X does not satisfy the Bishop-Phelps property.

EXAMPLES

REMARK

Every real Banach space satisfies the Bishop-Phelps property in view of the famous Bishop-Phelps Theorem.

THEOREM

Let X be a real vector space. If X is endowed with the finest locally convex vector topology, then X enjoys the Bishop-Phelps property.

EXAMPLE

There exists a real normed space X which is not subreflexive, therefore, $\text{SA}(B_X)$ is not dense in the norm-topology of X^* , which is precisely the $\sigma(X^*, \mathcal{BCC}_X)$ -topology of X^* . As a consequence, X does not satisfy the Bishop-Phelps property.

CONTENTS

1 ON THE BISHOP-PHELPS PROPERTY

- Krein-Milman properties
- Bishop-Phelps property
- **Inf-attaining functionals**
- Supporting vectors

INF-ATTAINING FUNCTIONALS

NOTATION

Let X be a real topological vector space and C a nonempty subset of X . The set of functionals attaining their inf on C is denoted by $IA(C) := \{f \in X^* : \inf f(C) \text{ is attained on } C\}$.

LEMMA

Let X be a real topological vector space. Let $A \subseteq X$ and $f \in X^*$ such that $f(A)$ is bounded above. Then there exists $B \subseteq X$ closed and convex such that $A \subseteq B$ and $f \in SA(B)$. If X is Hausdorff and locally convex and A is bounded, then B can be chosen to be also bounded.

INF-ATTAINING FUNCTIONALS

NOTATION

Let X be a real topological vector space and C a nonempty subset of X . The set of functionals attaining their inf on C is denoted by $IA(C) := \{f \in X^* : \inf f(C) \text{ is attained on } C\}$.

LEMMA

Let X be a real topological vector space. Let $A \subseteq X$ and $f \in X^*$ such that $f(A)$ is bounded above. Then there exists $B \subseteq X$ closed and convex such that $A \subseteq B$ and $f \in SA(B)$. If X is Hausdorff and locally convex and A is bounded, then B can be chosen to be also bounded.

A CHARACTERIZATION OF REFLEXIVITY

THEOREM

Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex real topological vector space. If there exist a bounded, closed, and convex subset C of X and $f \in X^ \setminus \text{IA}(C)$, then there exists another bounded, closed, and convex subset D of X such that $C \subseteq D$ and $f \in \text{SA}(D) \setminus \text{IA}(D)$.*

COROLLARY

A real Banach space X is reflexive if and only if $\text{IA}(C) = \text{SA}(C)$ for all bounded, closed, and convex subset C of X . In this situation, $\text{IA}(C) = \text{SA}(C) = X^$ for all bounded, closed, and convex subset C of X .*

A CHARACTERIZATION OF REFLEXIVITY

THEOREM

Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex real topological vector space. If there exist a bounded, closed, and convex subset C of X and $f \in X^ \setminus \text{IA}(C)$, then there exists another bounded, closed, and convex subset D of X such that $C \subseteq D$ and $f \in \text{SA}(D) \setminus \text{IA}(D)$.*

COROLLARY

A real Banach space X is reflexive if and only if $\text{IA}(C) = \text{SA}(C)$ for all bounded, closed, and convex subset C of X . In this situation, $\text{IA}(C) = \text{SA}(C) = X^$ for all bounded, closed, and convex subset C of X .*

CONTENTS

1 ON THE BISHOP-PHELPS PROPERTY

- Krein-Milman properties
- Bishop-Phelps property
- Inf-attaining functionals
- Supporting vectors

SUPPORTING VECTORS

DEFINITION

Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous linear operator between real or complex normed spaces. The set of supporting vectors of T is defined as $\text{suppv}(T) = \{x \in X : \|T(x)\| = \|T\|\|x\|\}$.

REMARK

Let X, Y be real or complex normed spaces and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous linear operator. If $\text{suppv}(T) \neq \{0\}$, then $\text{suppv}(T^) \neq \{0\}$.*

SUPPORTING VECTORS

DEFINITION

Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous linear operator between real or complex normed spaces. The set of supporting vectors of T is defined as $\text{suppv}(T) = \{x \in X : \|T(x)\| = \|T\|\|x\|\}$.

REMARK

Let X, Y be real or complex normed spaces and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous linear operator. If $\text{suppv}(T) \neq \{0\}$, then $\text{suppv}(T^) \neq \{0\}$.*

SUPPORTING VECTORS OF DUAL OPERATORS

LEMMA

Let X, Y be real or complex normed spaces and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous linear operator. Then

$$\operatorname{suppv}(T^*) \supseteq \{y^* \in Y^* : T^{-1}(\operatorname{suppv}(y^*)) \cap \operatorname{suppv}(T) \neq \{0\}\}.$$

If X is reflexive, then

$$\operatorname{suppv}(T^*) \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \{y^* \in Y^* : T^{-1}(\operatorname{suppv}(y^*)) \cap \operatorname{suppv}(T) \neq \{0\}\}.$$

ANOTHER CHARACTERIZATIONS OF REFLEXIVITY

COROLLARY

A real or complex Banach space X is reflexive if and only if for every Banach space Y and for every continuous linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\text{suppv}(T) \neq \{0\}$,

$$\text{suppv}(T^*) = \{y^* \in Y^* : T^{-1}(\text{suppv}(y^*)) \cap \text{suppv}(T) \neq \{0\}\}.$$

COROLLARY

A real or complex Banach space X is reflexive if and only if for every Banach space Y and for every continuous linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$,

$$\text{suppv}(T^*) \neq \{0\} \iff \text{suppv}(T) \neq \{0\}.$$

ANOTHER CHARACTERIZATIONS OF REFLEXIVITY

COROLLARY

A real or complex Banach space X is reflexive if and only if for every Banach space Y and for every continuous linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\text{suppv}(T) \neq \{0\}$,

$$\text{suppv}(T^*) = \{y^* \in Y^* : T^{-1}(\text{suppv}(y^*)) \cap \text{suppv}(T) \neq \{0\}\}.$$

COROLLARY

A real or complex Banach space X is reflexive if and only if for every Banach space Y and for every continuous linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$,

$$\text{suppv}(T^*) \neq \{0\} \iff \text{suppv}(T) \neq \{0\}.$$